



An Archeological Study to Identify the Ancient Settlement Pattern of Anuradhapura with Special Reference to the “Deegapasana” Rock Outcrop

Dr. Thusitha Mendis¹

Nuwan Abeywardana²

සංක්ෂිප්තය

අනුරාධපුර පැරණි නගරය යටත්විජිත යුගයේ සිට අද දක්වා ම වර්ෂ 200 කට වඩා වැඩි කාලයක් විවිධ පුරාවිද්‍යා අධ්‍යයනයන්ට භාජනය වූ ස්ථානයකි. යටත්විජිත යුගය තුළ දී අනුරාධපුරය නිශ්චිත ලෙස ඓතිහාසික කාල පරාසයක් තුළ ස්ථාන ගතකරන ලද අතර පශ්චාත් යටත්විජිත යුගයේ දී සිදුකරනු ලැබූ විවිධ පුරාවිද්‍යා පර්යේෂණ හා ස්තර විද්‍යානුකූල පුරාවිද්‍යා කැනීම් තුළින් අනුරාධපුරය පුළුල් කාල හා අවකාශ පරාසයක් තුළ ස්ථාන ගත කිරීමට හැකි වී තිබේ. ඒ අනුව අනුරාධපුරය ආශ්‍රිත පුරාණ මානව ක්‍රියාකාරකම් ආරම්භ වන්නේ ක්‍රි.පූ 3900 දී (අදින් අවුරුදු 5900) ජනාවාස පිහිටුවා ගනු ලබන ප්‍රාග් ඓතිහාසික මධ්‍යය ශිලා මානව ජනාවාසවලිනි. එසේ ආරම්භ වන මානව ජනාවාස තාක්ෂණික සංස්කෘතික පරිවර්තනයන් සමඟ ක්‍රමානුකූල ව ක්‍රි.පූ 1000 දී පමණ ප්‍රාථමික යකඩ යුගයටත් ඉන් අනතුරු ව ක්‍රි.පූ 400 න් පසු ව ප්‍රාථමික ඓතිහාසික යුගය ඔස්සේ මධ්‍යය

¹Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Archaeology and Heritage Management, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Mihintale, Sri Lanka, +094 718 281 439 thus.mendis@gmail.com

²Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Archaeology and Heritage Management, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Mihintale, Sri Lanka, +094 718 352 394 nuwan.abeywardana@gmail.com

ඓතිහාසික යුගයටත් අනතුරු ව පශ්චාත් ඓතිහාසික යුගයටත් පරිවර්තනය වී ඇති අයුරු පර්යේෂණ තුළින් පැහැදිලි වී ඇත. මේ ආකාරයට පුරාවිද්‍යාත්මක හා සාහිත්‍යමය ප්‍රභවයන් ඔස්සේ අනුරාධපුරයේ ආදිත ම ජනාවාස විකාශය හඳුනාගෙන තිබුණ ද ජනාවාස බිහිවීම සඳහා පාරිසරික පද්ධතියේ බලපෑම කෙසේ සිදුවී ද යන්න අධ්‍යයනය කර ඇත්තේ ඉතා ම අල්ප ලෙස ය. එම නිසා අනුරාධපුර තාක්ෂණික සංස්කෘතික අවධි ආශ්‍රිත ජනාවාස තුළ සිදු වූ පදිංචිවීමේ මෝස්තරය පිළිබඳ විමසීමේ දී අනුරාධපුර නගරයේ කේන්ද්‍රය, මධ්‍යය ප්‍රදේශය හා පර්යන්තය ආශ්‍රිත ව මානව ක්‍රියාකාරකම් සඳහා සුවිශේෂී බලපෑමක් දීස පාෂාණය නිසා සිදු ඇත. එම නිසා මෙම අධ්‍යයනය තුළින් දීස පාෂාණය ආශ්‍රිත පුරාණයේ සිට සිදු වී ඇති මානව ජනාවාසරටාව හඳුනාගැනීම හා පුරාණ තාක්ෂණය හඳුනාගැනීම මෙන්ම ඒ ආශ්‍රිත තොරතුරු භූ අවකාශීය දත්ත ඔස්සේ වාර්තාගත කිරීම සිදුකර තිබේ.

ප්‍රමුඛ පද: පුරාවිද්‍යාව, අනුරාධපුරය, දීස පාෂාණය, ජනාවාසකරණය,

1. Introduction

Since the colonial period over two centuries, ancient Anuradhapura city was subjected to various archeological research. Series of stratified excavations and research which has conducted in the post-colonial context was able to locate the Anuradhapura in a broad context of time and space. Human interactions with the Anuradhapura landscape was evident from 3900 BCE with the commencement of prehistoric Mesolithic settlements in the area. Consequently, numerous settlement phases are visible from the stratified soil starting from the Early Iron Age about 950 BCE to the Middle Historic periods(1,2).

Previous research was able to establish a clear cultural sequence to the Anuradhapura ancient city based on the historical sources and number of stratified excavations conducted in the area. However, rare efforts have been taken to study the microelements in the area in related to the settlement pattern and human interactions with the landscape.

The long rock outcrop "Deegapasana" could be identified as a prominent feature influenced to the centrality of the Anuradhapura as well as the behavior of the periphery."Deegapasana" rock outcrop is located in a rich archeological and cultural hub in Anuradhapura. It is hypothesized

here that the microenvironment formed by the rock outcrop was a prominent reason for the settlement pattern developed through the human activities from prehistoric period to present as well as the techno-cultural evolution of the area.

The main intention of this research was to identify the horizontal distribution of the material culture as well as the techno-cultural evolution of the area. Also, it was intended to analyze the horizontal connectivity with the Anuradhapura citadel stratification. Direct and indirect impacts from the rock outcrop to the evolution of entire cultural landscape was studied in related to the geospatial data. Further, the interrelationship between ancient irrigation landscape and the rock outcrop was studied.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study site

Anuradhapura is a remarkable landscape with a continues history and human interactions from Prehistoric period to the Middle Historic period. Natural settings of the landscape, directly and indirectly, caused for the settlement pattern as well as the centrality of the area. Among them, the "Deegapasana" rock outcrop was a prominent feature which helped to make a favorable environment for the prehistoric inhabitants. Ancient chronicles like *Mahavamsa* described this rock outcrop as "Digupahana" or "Digugalvila"(3).




Figure 01: Location of "Deegapasana" rock outcrop in related to the archaeological sites

This rock outcrop is visible from certain locations in the ancient Anuradhapura city, starting from the present day "Vessagiriya" site (Isurumuniya in the ancient times) to North of the "Vijayarama" monastery site, through "Abayagiriya" monastery (Figure: 01). Deegapasana rock outcrop extends in north-south directions closer to the ancient citadel. The river Malvathu (Ancient *Kolomhoya*) is located one kilometer away from the outcrop to the eastern side. The fertile valley between the river Malavathu and Deegapasana is rich with archaeological and cultural sites such as Vessagiriya monastery, DhakshinaVihara monastery, Jethavana monastery, Anuradhapura Citadel, Abayagiriya monastery, Pankuliya monastery, Vijayarama monastery and Kiribathvehera monastery.

Study limits for this research extended from present day Vessagiriya monastery to the North of the Vijayarama monastery. Deegapasana rock outcrop was studied on the surface from South to North direction, covering Vessagiriya monastery, Isurumuniya monastery, Ranmasuuyana the royal pleasure garden, Basawakkulama tank, Abayagiriya monastery, Perimiyankulama tank and Vijayarama monastery.

2.2 Historical and Archaeological background

According to the earliest chronicles, Sri Lanka was inhabited by the migrants from India, namely princes Vijaya and his team from Lata country. They established settlements adjacent to several river valleys in the dry zone of Sri Lanka such as river Malavathu, Kala, and Mahaveli. Those earliest settlements were known as Manthota, Thambapanni, Udeni, Anuradhagama, Uruwela and Vijithagama. The settlement called Anuradhagama closer to the Kolomhoya or the present day Malvathuoya which has established by the minister called Anuradha gradually became the center of the civilization. (3,4).

According to the literature, by 4th century BCE, the Anuradhapura settlement was started to form a city. The King Pandukabaya was the pioneer who formed it as a city. He separated the city into different

quarters for the well-functioning of the city. It is evident that in the King Pandukabayas period, Anuradhapura landscape consists of the city, central area for commercial activities, irrigation schemes in the outer ring of the city, agricultural lands, and different settlements (5). He established an official bearer called "Nagara Guththika" for the administration of the city. Also, he built a tank, cemetery and few places for religious activities (3). Further, the Mahavamsa described the layout of the city. A wall protected it, and four gates were established from the four directions linked with the main roads. Villages were located closer to the main gates, and the main settlements were located to the South and the North of the city (3).

Next important phenomenon in the development of Anuradhapura landscape is the introduction of Buddhism in 3rd Century BCE in the reign of the King Devanampiyathissa. Thereafter, the city was organized with the guidance from chief monks and influence of Northern Indian architecture and town planning concepts. Many evidence can be gathered from the description in *Mahavamsa* on the acceptance of Mahavihara (3).

Also, it mentions about the "Deegapasana" rock outcrop. *Bodhiwansa Gatapada* described it as "Digugalvila" (6). Similarly, even today, rock outcrop is mentioned as "Deegapasana." Abaya Wewa tank is located parallel to the rock outcrop. Gamini tank is located West to the Abaya Wewa tank. According to the *Mahavamsa*, the King Parakramabahu built the tank Jayawapi for the water requirement of the city. If the Jayawapi is hypothesized as the present day Abaya tank, Deegapasana rock outcrop would be the western limits for the boundary mentioned in the *Mahavamsa* story.

Anuradhapura landscape was developed throughout the centuries within the time and space, adding an inner city, ring of irrigation and agricultural landscape, settlement areas and several ring of monasteries. The monastic architecture in Anuradhapura corresponds with the development of the socio-economic and cultural institutions as a whole. There are several types of monasteries are preserved in the landscape such as cave monasteries, Maha Vihara or Organic monasteries,

Pabbatha Vihara or Mountain monasteries and Patanagara or double platform monasteries(5).

Anuradhapura was subjected to many archaeological excavations during last century. Particularly after 1969, stratified scientific excavations were carried out on numerous occasions. First human occupations in the soils of the Anuradhapura landscape is visible from the prehistoric periods. The Gedige excavation in 1969 reveals evidence on prehistoric Mesolithic settlement dated to 3900 BCE(7). The basal gravel in Anuradhapura citadel comprised with Mesolithic stone tools such as cutters, scrapers, choppers, points, hammers and stone tool waste(1,7). Deraniyagala identified a Mesolithic culture from Anuradhapura citadel which is similar to the Mesolithic in the other parts of the country acronym as *Balangoda Culture*.

The prehistoric settlement in Anuradhapura was superimposed by Early Iron Age culture in 950 BCE by the Indian migrants, defining the commencement of the protohistoric period in the area(7). The Early Iron Age was established in South India before 1200 BCE(1). Initial Early Iron Age settlements in Anuradhapura was up to 10 hectares in 900 to 800 BCE. As stated by Coningham, in Anuradhapura, Early Iron Age culture was active from 840 to 460 BCE(2). The prevailing characteristics of the Early Iron Age settlements were the rice cultivation, animal domestication, use of horses, primary metal industry, Black and Red ware with symbols and megalithic burial practice(1,8).

Anuradhapura Citadel was extended at least up to 50 hectares by 700 to 600 BCE, and it coincided with the second urbanization in the GangaticValley in India(1). Basal Early Historic period from 600 to 500 BCE was an important era in the settlement history of the area. There were evidence of early Brahmi writing and imported pottery wares of this period(1).

Lower Early Historic context is recorded from 500 to 250 BCE in Anuradhapura, and it corresponded with the King Pandukabaya story in Chronicles describing the beginning of the Anuradhapura city. Still, the extension of the city limited to 50 hectares based on the

archaeological materials(1). Anuradhapura developed rapidly in Middle Early Historic period (250 BCE to 100 CE) as the main hub for the dry zone agriculture civilization. During this time, the inner city extended up to 100 hectares and placed it within the five biggest cities in the South Asia(1). This phase of development was correlated with the establishment of Buddhist monastic complexes in Anuradhapura which has been described in the ancient literature(9).

The last phase of the development of cultural landscape in Anuradhapura link with the Middle Historic context from 300 CE to 1250 CE, until the commencement of Polonnaruwa Kingdom. During this period, most of the elements which have remained up to now were added to the landscape including splendid monumental architecture and irrigation networks. Stratified archeological materials demonstrate the strong trade and cultural networks with the outside World.

2.3 Data collection and Sampling design

A desk-based assessment was carried out to collect information from published and unpublished materials. During this process, multiple sources of information were obtained. Primary data were collected through a field survey and recorded as photographs, plans and field notes. Different methods were used to collect and record data. Geographical Information System (GIS) database was created integrating the spatial data gathered through many methods including GPS point survey and field exploration. Data on following key parameters were obtained through the field exploration.

- Built Environment
- Ancient technology and resource use
- Ancient irrigation works
- Topography

3. Results

3.1 Evidence on Early Settlements

Stratified excavations conducted in Anuradhapura Citadel, Jethavana monastery, and Vessagiriya, revealed evidence on prehistoric settlements in the area (1,10,11). Microenvironments in these three sites show slightly different characteristics. However, it is evident that the “Deegapasana” rock outcrop has played a significant role in forming favorable microenvironments in these sites. Mesolithic settlements in Sri Lanka was essentially a hunting and gathering society and established in different Eco zones, adopting to the local environmental conditions. Anuradhapura is located in the dry zone lowland area. Prominent data sources for the Mesolithic in Sri Lanka uncovered from the number of wet zone cave habitation sites. Similarly, in Vessagiriya site, microenvironment consists of many natural caves and rock shelters (11). Up to now 26 caves were identified from the sites (Figure: 06). However, most of these natural caves were transformed to monastic dwellings with a drip ledge to prevent water flow inside. It is hypothesized here that the natural caves in Deegapasana rock outcrop in Vessagiriya site made a favorable condition to establish prehistoric Mesolithic settlements in the area. Stratified artifacts such as stone tools and waste materials support that opinion (Figure:2-5).



Figure 02: Chert tool from
Vessagiriya



Figure 03: Chert tool from
Vessagiriya



Figure 04: Quarts tool from Vessagiriya

Figure 05: Quarts tool from Vessagiriya

Anuradhapura Citadel and Jethawana sites activated as open air Mesolithic habitation sites. Similar to the Vessagiriya site, these two locations were given with the favorable locational factors for a prehistoric hunter-gathering culture. Especially the rock shelters in “Deegapasana” rock outcrop situated closer to the Abayagiriya monastery could be used as dwelling places for the prehistoric people while they used the adjacent lands to the natural water holes rich with flora and fauna, in between the river Malvathu and present day Abaya Wewa tank for their hunting and gathering purposes. Further, topography of the Jethavana and Citadel sites made a comparatively hazard free safe environment for the prehistoric habitations (Table: 01)

Table 01: Average Bed Rock Elevation for major sites

Bed Rock Elevation Vessagiriya	Bed Rock Elevation Jethavana	Bed Rock Elevation Anuradhapura Citadel	Bed Rock Elevation Abayagiriya
83.5 M	72.55 M	78.96 M	87.32 M

Therefore, some favorable locational factors for a prehistoric Mesolithic settlement in Anuradhapura can be listed as follows.

- Dwelling places in natural rock shelters in “Deegapāsana” rock outcrop
- Availability of water and mineral resources for stone tool industry from river Malavathu
- Elevated areas in the landscape
- Natural water holes in between the river Malvathu west bank and the present day Abaya Wewa tank provided suitable lands for game hunting and food gathering

Protohistoric Early Iron Age is the next dominant cultural phase in Anuradhapura. Many sites reveal material evidence on Early Iron Age in different time and space context (Table: 02). Most of the sites located in the North, North Central, East, North Western, Northern hill country and the Western areas(12). There are two types of sites demonstrate evidence on Early Iron Age.

- Early Iron Age settlement sites
- Mortuary complexes

Table 02: Dating on Early Iron Age settlement and mortuary complexes in Sri Lanka

Site	Dating
Anuradhapura	900 BCE (1)
Sigiriya	1000 BCE (13)
Ibbankatuwa	500 BCE - 400 BCE (1)
Ranchamadama	1359 BCE (14)
Pinwewa Galsohon Kanatta	112 BCE (15)
Kalatuwawa	130 BCE (15)
Manthai	200 BCE (16)
Kantharodei	500 BCE (17)
Lower Kirindhi Oya basin	900 BCE (18)
Thissamaharama	360 BCE - 290 BCE (19)
Polpithigama	520 BCE - 310 BCE

Study area reveals substantial evidence on Early Iron Age habitations. Stratified excavations conducted in the Vessagiriya monastery site were able to uncover Black and Red Ware (BRW) and crucibles used for iron smelting which is prominent characteristics of the Early Iron Age(11).By comparing the material evidence from the stratified excavations in Vessagiriya with the Anuradhapura Citadel, it is obvious that Early Iron Age settlement was established in the area nearly 800 BCE to 500 BCE.

Micro-environmental features designed the nature of the Early Iron Age and Historical settlements in the Vessagiriya area. Due to the rock outcrop, natural depression was made to the western side later converted to the Thissa Wewa with an artificial bund. Sudharshan Seneviratne mentioned a similar natural depression named Idamoraluwa, used by the Early Iron Age settlers in Ibbankatuwa (8). The flat valley lands East to the outcrop allowed early inhabitants for agriculture and animal husbandry. Further, fertile Reddish Brown Earth (RBW) made a favorable microenvironment for the agriculture settlements.

A demographic expansion happened in Protohistoric Early Iron Age from the center to the periphery, extended from Anuradhapura Citadel to the Southern Anuradhapura(1). Further, settlements were spread to the sites like Ibbankatuwa and river Kala Oya valley. Spatial patterning of the sequence of Iron Age mortuary complexes such as Galewela, Anekotawa, and Kandalama supports this hypothesis(20).Seneviratne identified this process as a centrifugal phenomenon resulted from the multi-resources subsistence pattern in the Early Iron Age(8).




Figure 06: Location of natural caves in Vessagiriya site




Figure 07: Recorded evidence in Ranmasu Uyana area




Figure 08: Recorded evidence in Abhayagiriya Monastery area




Figure 09: Recorded evidence in Abhayagiriya Monastery area




Figure 10: Recorded evidence in Vijayarama Monastery area

A promising discovery was made during the Deegapasana rock outcrop survey which gives new evidence on Early Iron Age mortuary practices in Anuradhapura. A cist burial was uncovered for the first time adjacent to the Anuradhapura ancient settlement, from a private land closer to the Perimiyankulama tank (Figure: 11).




Figure 11: Palugaswewa Cist Burial

Deegapasana rock-outcrop revealed evidence on Early Iron Age context in Anuradhapura by many Early Brahmi Inscriptions and symbols. The study area consists 47 Early Brahmi Inscriptions out of 402 inscriptions recorded in the North Central province. Among them, Vessagiriya site in Deegapasana rock-outcrop consists majority of the inscriptions, 27 in number. Besides, 4 Early Brahmi inscriptions recorded in Abayagiriya monastery area (21). Further, 11 symbols which demonstrate Early Historic context, were identified during the survey from Vessagiriya, Ranmasu Uyana, Abayagiriya and Vijayarama area (Figure:12-21).




Figure 12-19: Symbols on Deegapasana




Figure 20 & 21: Symbols on Deegapasana

3.2 Ancient Industrial Activities in “Deegapasana” Area

The “Deegapasana” rock outcrop revealed much evidence of metal and stone industry conducted in Early and Middle historic periods. Main results can be categorized as follows.

- Drip ledge on natural caves and rock shelters
- Stone query sites with chisel marks
- Conical holes and stone canoe used to sharpen the tools
- Structural evidence on rock surface
- Symbols on the rock

Natural caves were converted into dwelling places in Early I historic period with a 90° drip ledge carved on to the rock surface to prevent

water flowing inside. During the survey twenty-six caves in Vessagiriya, two caves in Isurumuniya and four caves in Abayagitiya were recorded (Figure: 22 & 23)



Figure 22 & 23: Drip ledge caves in Vessagiriya

"Deegapasana" rock outcrop revealed much evidence on the extraction of rock materials for the construction purposes as stone slabs and pillars in historical times. A number of oval shaped holes dig into the rock surface visible in many places of the outcrop. Ancient craftsmen had the ability to identify the natural mineral line to extract rock materials easily. These holes or so-called cut-marks were recorded ranging 2cm to 3cm in radius and 7cm to 11 cm in depth. Chisels were used to make oval shaped holes on the natural mineral line of the rock (Figure:33). During the survey, 125 quarries with chisel marks were recorded (Table: 03).

Table 03: Number of Queries in different sites along the "Deegapasana"

Site	Number of Queries
Vessagiriya	12
Abayagiriya	25
Isurumuniya	04
Perimiyankulama	52
Ranmasu Uyana	32

Deegapasana was used to extract materials for the construction and development processes in Anuradhapura ancient landscape as well as the extraction process of rocks were caused to shape the landscape of the area(22).




Figure 24-32: Stone quarries in "Deegapasana" Rock Outcrop

Metal production was another important component of the industrial processes happened related to the Deegapasana rock outcrop. Ancient craftsmen used metal tools to extract stones boulders from the outcrop as well as shape up the stone structures. During the survey number of places uncovered with evidence on metal production such as remains of iron slags (Figure:34). Further, a significant number of conical holes which used to sharpen the metal tools were studied. The majority of the conical holes out of studied fifteen, demonstrate similar characteristics

with approximately 12cm in diameter and 8cm to 10cm in depth (Figure 36-38). Ancient times, stone canoe were used as mobile devices for sharpening the tools. Present research uncovered some stone canoe from the area between Perimiyankulam tank and Vijayarama monastery (Figure:35).




Figure 33: Stone Chisels



Figure 34: Iron Slags



Figure 35: Stone Canoe




Figure 36 - 38: Conical holes in "Deegapasana"

3.3 Evidence on Built Environment

Architectural remains combined with Deegapasana indicate a significant stage of the settlement history of the area. The majority of the structural remains demonstrate a Buddhist religious context despite few other secular structures remain. Base on the materials, architectural remains in Deegapasana can be categorized in to two major types.

- Stone made architectural structures
- Brick made architectural structures

Stone steps, pillars, and post holes are prominent among the architectural stone remains and stick into the rock outcrop itself (Figure:39-41). The majority of the architectural features studied and




Figure 39: Stone steps-
Isurumuniya



Figure 40: Stone steps-
Perimiyankulama



Figure 41: Stone steps -
Vessagiriya




Figure 42: Stupa ruins -
Vessagiriya



Figure 43: Stupa ruins -
Perimiyankulama



Figure 44: Stone slab -
Isurumuniya



Figure 45 - 47: Postholes in Deegapasanarock-outcrop

recorded during the survey were identified from the Vessagiriya and the Isurumuniya archaeological sites. Further, the Royal pleasure garden "Ranmasu Uyana" consist of significant stone architectural structures in a varied nature and demonstrate a secular context in contrast to the monastic architectural features find in elsewhere.

Besides the stone structures, there are many brick made structures visible in Deegapasana area. Among them few stupas and different kinds of building ruins are prominent. Three rock boulders in Vessagiriya monastery site consist remains of five stupas. Also, two other stupas were erected on Deegapasana in Isurumuniya monastery and Perimiyankulama. All six stupas were constructed on the rock outcrop with stone basements (Figure:42 & 43).

The next constructional element in Deegapasana is the stone carved postholes which used as a support for the wooden pillars (Figure:45-47). Postholes were in different dimensions, and the majority were examined approximately 15cm in diameter.

3.3 Ancient Water Management Activities

Anuradhapura considered as the center of the ancient dry zone hydraulic civilization. The ancient water management system started in a primitive society base context in Anuradhapura and developed to a sophisticated system in Middle historic periods. Deegapasana rock-outcrop played a significant role in shaping up this water management system since prehistoric times. Anuradhapura ancient settlement located in a lowland dry zone ecosystem. Prehistoric Mesolithic evidence uncovered from some sites in Anuradhapura. Availability of water played a prominent role in the establishment of prehistoric hunter-gathering societies in the area. Deggapasana rock-outcrop consist with some natural rock shelters away from the floodplain of the river Malvathu provide considerably hazard free dwelling for the prehistoric hunter-gathers. Meanwhile, in some places high elevated rock-outcrop disturb the gently rolling flat terrain and block valleys to make natural water holes. Two cross-sections made from Basawakkulama tank and Thissa Wewa tank to the river Malvathu through Deegapasana rock-

outcrop, clearly demonstrate the conversion of natural water holes into the tanks in ancient times (Figure:48).




Figure 48: Cross Section from West to East (Thissa Wewa tank to River Malavathlu)

The Ranmasu Uyana royal pleasure garden gives evidence on sophisticated landscape and water management system in the middle historic period. This water garden is located East to the Thissa Wewa tank and extends a land nearly 35 acres. According to the Bandaranayke, Ranmasu Uyana was constructed in 9th or 10th century CE(23). 70 feet long channel from Thissa Wewa tank is used to provide water to the rectangular ponds. Complex water flowing network in a navel system manifests the water management skills practiced in Anuradhapura during Middle Historic times.

4. Conclusion

Anuradhapura considered as the first city of the Sri Lanka as well as the starting point of the ancient dry zone hydraulic civilization. Early migrants from India and elsewhere established settlements in lowland dry zone area since Early Iron Age. However, in Historical periods, Anuradhapura became the capital of the country and the center point of the civilization. The natural landscape including the Deegapasana rock-outcrop greatly helped early migrants to form a well-planned city and a hydraulic agriculture landscape in the area. This research was able to identify the influence of Deegapasana rock-outcrop for the settlement

pattern of the ancient Anuradhapura city. Rock-outcrop was a favorable factor for the layout of the settlement during different techno-cultural phases. Further interlinkages can distinguish from the ancient industrial activities, water management system, and the built environment of the area.

References

- Deraniyagala, S.U. (1992), *The prehistory of Sri Lanka: an ecological perspective*. Department of archaeological survey, government of Sri Lanka.
- Coningham, R.A.E., (2006) *Anuradhapura : the British-Sri Lankan excavations at Anuradhapura Salgaha Watta : Volume 2 : the artefacts*. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Geiger W. (1908) *Mahavamsa: Great Chronicle of Ceylon*. Vol. 63. H. Frowde.
- Oldenberg H. *Dipavamsa*. (1879) Asian Educational Services. 238 p.
- Silva R. (2000) *Development of Ancient Cities in Sri Lanka with special reference to Anuradhapura*. *Reflect Herit Hist Scholarsh Pre-Mod Sri Lanka*.49-81.
- Deraniyagala S. (1972) *The Citadel of Anuradhapura: Excavation in the Gedige area*. *Anc Ceylon*.2.
- Seneviratne S. (1996) *Peripheral Regions and Marginal Communities: Towards an Alternative Explanation of Early Iron Age Material and Social Formations in Sri Lanka*. *Tradit Dissent Ideol Essays Honour Romila Thapar*.364-410.
- Bandaranayake SD. (1974) *Sinhalese Monastic Architecture: The Vihāras of Anurādhapura*. BRILL. 480 p.
- Mendis T. (2014) *An Archaeological Analysis on Proto and Early Historic Landscape in Anuradhapura Citadel, Jethavanarama and Vessagiriya micro sites*. *Samodhana J Fac Soc Sci Humanit*. Jul;3(1):1-6.

- Mendis T. (2008) A New Cultural Road Map to Anuradhapura A Material cultural at Vessagiriya. In: Heritage Achievement. Colombo, Sri Lanka: Central Cultural Fund.
- Seneviratne S. (1984) The Archaeology of the Megalithic Black and Red Ware Complex in Sri Lanka. *Anc Ceylon*. 5(23):7-30.
- Karunaratne P, Adikari G. (1994) Excavations at Aligala Pre-historic Site. Furth Stud Settl Archaeol Sigiriya-Dambulla Reg Kelaniya Sri Lanka Univ Kelaniya PGIAR.
- De Silva N, Somadeva R. (2006) The Galpaya Survey: Report of the First Field Season 2006. Postgraduate Institute of Archaeology [University of Kelaniya].
- Deraniyagala SU. (2007) The prehistory and protohistory of Sri Lanka. In: The Art and Archaeology of Sri Lanka. Central Cultural Fund.
- Carswell J, Prickett M. Mantai (1980) a preliminary investigation. Commissioner of Archaeology, Department of Archaeology; 1984.
- Ragupathy P. (1987) Early settlements in Jaffna: an archaeological survey. *Thillimalar Ragupathy*.
- Somadeva, R. (2006). *Urban Origins in Southern Sri Lanka*.
- Weisshaar H-J, Roth H, Wijeyapala W, Depārtamēntuva SLP, others. (2001) *Ancient Ruhuna: Sri Lankan-German archaeological project in the southern province*. von Zabern.
- Seneviratne S, Jayaratne D. (2006) The Megalithic Memorials of South India and Sri Lanka. *Altern Archaeol J Soc Archaeol Univ Perad*, 1-14.
- Paranavithana S. (1970) *Inscription of Ceylon: Early Brahmi Inscriptions*, vol. 1. Depart-Ment Archaeol Colombo.
- Wagalawatta, T., Bebermeier, W., Knitter, D., Kohlmeyer, K., & Schütt, B. (2017). „Ancient Rock Quarries in Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka. \ieTopoi. *Journal for Ancient Studies* 1(0).

Bandaranayake, S. (1993). Amongst Asia's Earliest Surviving Gardens, the Royal & Monastic Gardens at Sigiriya & Anuradhapura. \iArticle published in ICOMOS publication, Historic Gardens and Sites) Central Cultural Fund, Colombo.