

Heritage Tourism for Peace in Sri Lanka*

D.L.A.H. Shammika

Abstract

This paper explores the potentials in heritage tourism in Sri Lanka to foster peace. The methodological approach taken to answer the research question was based on grounded theory. Godawaya, and Ambalanthota in Hambanthota district in Southern province have been the major historical sites to nurture the emerging theory of the study. In the hidden face of those sites the researcher has explored the potentials which could blur the margins of division between ethnic groups in Sri Lanka and channel the conscientization process to rediscover a common inheritance and identity.

Key words: Peace, Heritage Tourism, Sri Lanka, Grounded Theory, Identity

* Please cite this article as: Shammika, D.L.A.H. (2020), Heritage Tourism for Peace in Sri Lanka, *The Journal of Archaeology and Heritage Studies*, Vol. 5 Issue I, Chief Editor: C.B. Ambanwala, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Mihintale. pp 157-175

Introduction

This article examines how the way of promoting heritage tourism in Sri Lanka has connected with the process of nation-building and how it has influenced the ethnic polarization and the potentials it has to redirect the country towards peaceful coexistence by alleviating stereotypic attitudes and promoting mutual respect and understanding. Sri Lankan conflict is between the majority ethnic group Sinhalese and a minority Tamils. They both have cohabited Sri Lankan soil from time immemorial. The causes for more than thirty-five-year-old ethnic conflict are multiple and complex. A major causative factor for the conflict in post colonial era is the failure to integrate minority groups in to the mainstream of nation state building. This paper scrutinizes the problems encountered in the Sri Lankan nation building process with particular concern in to its peculiarity and uniqueness. The author believes that the attempts to analyze the problems of third world by applying universal theories have created confusion and misperceptions in the world. With that view the discussion will be undertaken more on the grounds of real life situations in Sri Lanka. The starting point of this paper is to view the Sri Lankan conflict as a reflection of failure in the process of state formation during the post-independent period. This is a situation that is also found in many other countries that have emerged from a colonial experience. Colonial expansion put an end to the autonomous existence of a variety of social formations and political systems. 'Concepts' and reality were homogenized. The export of the nation state model to the colonies ran into a host of difficulties, because no appropriate foundations for this kind of construct existed in the extra European world (Scherrer,C., 2002:2).Decolonization created new states whose mandates exceeded previous experiences; the prerequisite to fulfilling these expanded mandates was integration at three levels: integration of territories, integration of administrations, integration of the various peoples who lived in new territories and under new administrations (Rajagopalan, S.,2006:2). The last level of integration is the most difficult because it challenges groups' needs to remain separate and distinct, to retain the unique markers of their identities. Heritage tourism is an important medium which provides markers

for communicating identity. One of the most useful recent developments in the study of museums, heritage centers, and tourism in general is the analysis of all these attractions as forms of media (Morgan and Pritchard et. al, 1998). Tourism conforms to a common-sense definition of a 'medium' as a channel of communication or expression through which information or impressions are conveyed. Attractions that focus on a group's history and culture, and on the distinctive appearance and practices of the group, certainly convey information and impression, or 'messages' about the group. Marshall McLuhan foresaw future with his famous sentence 'the medium is the message' (Babic, D., 1996: 207). The phrase stands for the idea that the currently available media shapes human activities, more so than we are aware of. In other words, media themselves (i.e. the medium) affect our society not so much through the content delivered, but by the characteristics of the medium itself. By serving as a medium in which people's 'stories' are told and their history and culture portrayed in appealing ways, tourist attractions could be part of a campaign to reshape group images and group identities. The representation of heritage will have substantial implications for both collective and individual identity and hence for the creation of social realities (Hall, M., C., 1998:180). Identity attractions often 'stress' the importance of emerging self consciousness and self determination of the ethnic minority, the need to correct the historical record in so far as it undervalues the contribution of minority, and [remind] the visitors of past discriminations against the minority (MacCannell,1984:387). And this the critical point: *When attraction to do these things, then the 'messages' sent through tourism come to resemble those contained in activists' ideology and rhetoric.* This is why 'heritage has an identity-conferring status' (MacCrone et al 1995:181):

The integration process cannot always be perceived as being honestly conducted from the view point of minority groups. In-minorities' opinion they are under-represented in many realms of the nation state. The state's actual policies and functions sometimes contradict its need for integration. Integration is a process of coming together in attitude, memory and interests.

This process drives from and contributes to the development of constitutive individuals, groups and units. The state bears the primary responsibility for directing the integrative process, which may involve a variety of relationships among the constitutive groups and between those constituents and the collective. The author bears the stance that failure to construct a collective identity in which all constituents feel inclusive is a major draw back in nation-state building in Sri Lanka. History is a powerful resource in the construction of collective identities, both in the core and the periphery, and tradition is a fertile source of mobilizing symbols (Hobsbawm and Rander, 1983). Heritage like history, popular memory, commemorations and national celebrations – links us as individuals and as groups into broader constituencies (the local community or the nation) recognizing and accepting the significance of heritage is part of identity making, of nostalgic remembering, of connecting with roots and origin whether these are familiar or national (Trotter, Robin, 2001:149). One of the most useful recent developments in the study of museums, heritage centers, and tourism in general is the analysis of all these attractions as forms of media (Morgan and Pritchard, 1998). Tourism probably does not spring to most people's minds when they think of media, but it does confirm to a common-sense definition of a 'medium' as a channel of communication or expression through which information or impressions are conveyed (Pitchford, S., 2008:83). The first part of the article discusses how different ideological representations would like to perceive the history, the land and the heritage of Sri Lanka. The vast and the vivid sources of historical evidence and the hybrid stories descent from the past of culturally rich societies in Sri Lanka yield a background in which different interpretations could be easily formulated for nationalistic advantage. As reasons for the existence of different interpretations, the author identifies the necessity of ethnic groups to justify autonomy and to bolster nationalist sentiments over and above any academically motivated reasons. The interpretation of history and culture is central to national identity building because revised narratives of the nation as well as recovered or invented culture and tradition are key

resources for constructing national consciousness and infusing that consciousness with pride, affection and loyalty (Hobbesbawn 1983:1-14).

Methodology

The qualitative nature of this study presented many potential frameworks for investigations. But the research question is less explored so far and contentious in nature that grounded theory approach shows more suitable to this research. Before entering the study field, I had no “preconceived” theoretical framework. The theory was to be discovered in the data gathering process of answering the research question. At the outset it was only the general disciplinary knowledge on the subject matters of the research that set forth the initial planning and setting of research question. But at the end the process of approaching the answers to the research questions is to discover unknown theories. In grounded theory; having identified a problem or topic in very general term and selected a site where that problem could be studied the researcher was then to allow the evidence they accumulated to dictate the emerging theoretical agenda (Day, Ian, 1999:3). According to Shank (2002:82), ‘grounded theory, by its nature, moves from the specific to the general. The theory was constructed based on the meaningfulness or understanding of the conversations, observations and interpretations made at the research field. Data for this research were collected primarily through a combination of field work methods. Because each method reveals different aspects of empirical reality, multiple methods of inquiry helps the reader to see and hear vividly the people and situations in the area under study. The main qualitative methods incorporated to grounded theory were participant observation, unstructured interviewing, observation and documentary materials. The specific locus chosen for the study was special in its geography and demography. Hambanthota is a ‘peripheral’ area in Southern Sri Lanka which makes home for an array of tourist destinations. Hambanthota is marginalized in political and economic terms, but at the same time is a region charged with enormous development potentials (Southern Development Master Plan, 2000: 124). They provided a rich research background to let the

study grow from specific to general on grounded theory basis. According to grounded theory, data is central and superior. Therefore, care has been taken to select the most appropriate method for gathering data.

Carefully selected samples are an efficient way of producing accurate information (Singleton, R., A., and Straits, B., C., 1999:136). All sampling methods used in qualitative methodologies come under the broad category of purposeful sampling (Patton, 2002). This study which is also qualitative employed a purposeful sampling technique. Patton (2002:46) argues that 'the logic and power of purposeful sampling lies in selecting information rich cases for in-depth study. There are quite a few strategies to do this out of those theoretical sampling was realised to be the most appropriate sampling method to this study. Theoretical sampling is a method that has its roots in grounded theory. This strategy is described by Glaser and Strauss as; "Theoretical sampling is the process of data collection for generating theory whereby the analyst jointly collects codes, and analyzes the data in order to design what data to collect next and where to find them, in order to develop his theory as it emerges. This process of data collection is controlled by the emerging theory" (1967: 45). The representatives of a sample are guaranteed neither by random sampling nor by stratification. Rather individuals, groups etc. are selected according to their (expected) level of new insights for the developing theory, in relation to the state of theory elaboration so far. The criterion for judging when to stop sampling the different groups pertinent to a category is the category's theoretical saturation. Saturation means that no additional data are being found whereby the sociologist can develop properties of the category.

Literature: Heritage tourism for Peace

Heritage tourism has been introduced as a force for peace by Poria, (2001:115) in the Middle-East. The author assumes that the use of heritage in tourism is a sensitive and dynamic area of development, and there is a critical need to know how to manage this phenomenon, especially in the context of communities

which have moved from war to non-war and were involved in violent conflicts. The author suggests that in post war period if the groups are truly willing to create a culture of peace there are changes that need to be made relatively quickly on both sides. There is a need for both sides to understand that neither of them is presenting the real thing. And the presentation of some parts of history, and non-presentation of other parts of history, may influence the long-term future of the peace culture. The author's argument is condensed in the expression that 'a more responsible and open presentation of the past can be used as a weapon for peace'. Such a presentation if promoted in a way that is perceived by tourists from both sides as fair and reliable may lead to mutual tolerance and warm rather than cold and fragile peace.

The role of heritage tourism in formation of identities is another central phenomenon that is discussed in the existing literature on heritage tourism's relevance in conflict and peace. In many fields, identity differences are seen to be a root cause of conflict. For an inter-group (e.g., racial, ethnic, or religious) conflict to occur, the opponents must have a sense of collective identity about themselves and about their adversary, each side believing the fight is between "us" and "them" (Kriesberg, K., 2003:1). It is identified that areas of local importance play a predominant role in the construction of identity (Gonzale, M., V., 2008:807). In literature changing identities and transforming intractable conflicts are deeply discussed in categorical form. The ways to modify identities so as to reduce the intractability of a conflict several policies are introduced in three settings: (1) within each group, (2) In the relations between the groups, and (3) In their social context (Kriesberg, K., 2003:5). Utility of heritage tourism in modifying identities can also be analyzed in this framework. The ways of harnessing heritage tourism can also be identified in three different directions of policy orientations that: (1) help prevent conflicts becoming intractable (2) Help stop the prolongation and escalation of intractable conflicts and help transformed and resolved intractable conflicts (Kriesberg, K., 2003:5). Gonzale (2008:809) explored the trade-off between existential intangible heritage tourism and modern

destination image. He examines the relationship between existential intangible tourism and personal identity in his conclusion he suggests the role of existential heritage tourism when building cosmopolitan identity. In some of the relevant literatures heritage tourism's role is discussed under the all-inclusive theme of cultural tourism. Cultural tourism is said to overlap heritage tourism, rural tourism, educational tourism etc (Craik, J., 2001:114). Therefore, the assertions on cultural tourism have also been regarded for this literature review on heritage tourism. According to Burns (2006:13) 'if it is argued that culture (and nostalgia) can be appropriated as a resource by the tourism sector, tourism needs to be understood in terms of contested cultures, created by (a) the collision of local realities and globally driven commercialism and (b) the collusion between state and the tourism sector to construct social identities and to fuse (and perhaps, muddle) histories'. The interpretation of history and culture is central to national identity building because revised narratives of the nation, as well as recover or invented culture and traditions, are key resources for constructing national consciousness and infusing that consciousness with pride, affection, and loyalty (Hobsbawm and Ranger, 1983:1-14). These same resources come into play when a redefine identity is projected outward, to an audience beyond the group. It is precisely because of their power to interpret history and culture that "museums and historical sites have, indeed, become major participants in contemporary efforts to construct culturally shared, historically anchored representation of 'self' and 'other'" (Katriel, 1993:70).

Discussion

The interpretation of the history and culture is central to national identity building because revised narratives of the nation, as well as recovered or invented culture and traditions, are key resources for constructing national consciousness and infusing that consciousness with pride, affection, and loyalty (Hobsbawm and Ranger, 1983). In Sri Lankan conflict historical legacies, archeological sites and state symbols can be seen to be interpreted in different ways justifying each party's involvement in the conflict. According

to the '*Mahawamsa*', a reordered history of Sri Lanka the Sinhalese majority claims an exclusive possession to the island. Based on the '*Mahawamsa*' the Sinhala race originated in the island with the arrival of King Vijaya and his seven hundred companions some time in the fifth century B.C. They were all from Northern India. Later migrations were from Bengal and Orissa as well. Buddhism came to the island later. According to the '*Mahawamsa*', it was during the time of '*Ashoka*' the great of India that the Sri Lankan king '*Devanampiyathisa*' (250-210 B.C.) was converted to Buddhism. With royal patronage thus received Buddhism spread in the island (Silva, 1983:3-11). The origin of the Tamils, according to the same historical source, can be traced to the third century B.C. There existed commercial contacts between the Sri Lankans and South India's ports and there is a strong likelihood that the Tamils came to the island as traders, invaders or peaceful immigrants. For Sinhala Buddhist nationalist '*Mahawamsa*' is an irreplaceable source for the reconstruction of the early history of the Island.

The Tamil demand for autonomy and the North- Eastern province as an autonomous Tamil region has been built around the concept of a 'Tamil home land'. The 'Tamil home land' concept argues that the Tamil community has a distinct cultural and linguistic identity and the Northern and the Eastern provinces have been the historic home of the Tamil speaking peoples who have allegedly, through out in the past lived in this territory (Iyer, 1989:102). These opposing arguments catalyze the ethnic polarization of Sri Lanka. It has been agreed that in order to achieve peaceful settlement it is necessary to discourage the vicious process of ethnic polarization. Tourism has been recognized by many informants as a vital force to enhance intercultural understanding and to demolish prejudices. How are ethnic divisions, symbolized by ethnic markers selected for tourist promotion, reconciled with national integration and the assertion of a national identity? This question emerged in the research process in several situations and the answer also could be sought by further investigations and clarifications.

'Godawaya' is an ancient harbor situated in Southern costal area of Sri Lanka that provided many research materials to answer the above question. This destination encompasses a monastery, an ancient settlement and a harbor. The site was neither publicized adequately nor visited frequently by tourists. Major sites that have been promoted as tourist attractions in southern province share some commonalities that typify the core interest in the tourism agenda. Mulkirigala, Thissamaharamaya, Naigala, Kasagala, Rambawehera, Siththangallena, Bandagiriya, Karadulena, Situlpauwa are some of the heritage tourism destinations in Hambanthota. These heritage sites are mainly Buddhist temples built in third century B.C till 1300 A.C, by Sinhala kings which bolster Sinhala Buddhist nationalism. Promoting only the heritage of Sinhala Buddhist prosperity in past indirectly means reinforcing Sinhala Buddhist exclusiveness. Those heritage sites are visited mainly by Sinhala Buddhist people and to a lesser extent by foreign tourist. Why is it hard to see any person from minority ethnic groups visiting these sites? Do they feel excluded inside these Buddhist temples? One informant in my research who is from minority ethnic group bears the idea that 'the feeling they get inside these heritage sites is no different from that they get in a touristic site in another country'. They don't get any belongingness there. In brief they feel like being surrendered to the 'Sinhala exclusive' idea. Heritage tourism sites recognized by the Sri Lankan government for promotion are selective implying a conscious choice to value some manifestation from the past above others and to enhance tourism products rooted in selected representation of the past.

In his study of Cuzco, Peru, Van den Berghe (1980:387) argues that 'tourism superimpose itself on a preexisting, native system of ethnic relations', transferring a long established unequal relationship between Mestizos and Indians on to the new social and economic terrain of tourism. The author presents a similar argument for the Sri Lankan context following the research covering the heritage sites in Hambanthota district of Sri Lanka. A significant observation made in this research is in the backdrop of selected sites which

attest the Sinhala Buddhist prosperity in past, places like 'Godawaya' in Hambanthota district in southern Sri Lanka which gives an alternative explanation to the historiography of the chronicles have been given least concern and importance as a heritage tourism destination. During the interview, the leader of a fisheries association in 'Godawaya', conveyed his discontent with the authorities in archeological department of not being able to develop the site as a heritage tourism destination. The author's further investigations on the particular issue of 'Godawaya' included interviews with authorities in archeological department and local people. The villagers are highly interested in developing 'Godawaya' as a tourist destination. Unlike the other heritage sites that I have visited this particular place is closely related with the villagers living around the site. The 'Godawaya' harbor is functioning even today making livelihood opportunities in fisheries industry for many villagers.

The archeological excavations first started in the ancient costal settlement of 'Godawaya' in 1994 by the archeological department of Sri Lanka and Bonn University as cooperative project (Wijeyapala and Kessler, 2001:291). According to the information gathered from the interviews with villagers the author's main argument is that 'for an archeological excavation to become socially significant and touristically effective that should be conducted in an open manner attaining credibility of the villagers and also most importantly the national policies shouldn't be partial to interests of one party. According to the authorities in archeological department, in 1991 the Archeological Department of Sri Lanka and the German Institute of Archeology agreed on archeological excavations in the Southern province within the ancient citadel of Thissamaharama. To compliment this project, in order to give the research a broader basis, the University of Bonn commenced investigations at 'Godawaya', one of the major harbors of the Ruhuna, as well as at an ancient monastery in Ambalanthota. Since then a team comprised of both Sri Lankan as well as German archeologists have conducted joint excavations every year. Students of the Sri Lankan Universities took part in

this field work together with their German counterparts, giving them an opportunity to gather experience in modern excavation practice. While couple of archeologists conveyed discontent over involvement of foreign archeologist in excavation, the villagers conveyed a strong suspicion on any ulterior motives over foreign involvement. The villagers have no idea of why the exposed archeological monuments were earthed again by the archeologists at the site. One could see the abandoned excavation sites in the monastery at 'Godawaya' adding no significance to the site. The only significance at the monastery is a temple renovated by the villagers at a higher place of the site. Villagers are furious over archeological department for not being able to keep the site uncovered and develop as a touristic destination. From the archeological perspective the excavation site has been earthed to preserve it for future generations. In addition, according to the department they are not financially able to preserve it in situ for any development as a touristic destination. The author sees it as a failure of the archaeological department for reality being not transmitted to the villagers correctly. The same site exhibits how misperception and improper communication between these two parties lead to adverse consequences. The discontent villagers show no interest or respect over any statement from archaeological department. They have built a play ground for pre-school over a piece of land which has been identified as archaeologically important by the archaeological department.

The reason given by the villagers for their inflexible policy is that if the site does not make any significant contribution to the betterment of the villagers, they have to use the land in what so ever way for their social welfare. This is an example where a heritage site has been demolished in favor of new structures that have a more practical contemporary function. For the villagers the economic rationale for saving the abandoned land does not outweigh the benefits of destroying them. But according to the villagers, if the monuments excavated at the harbor, monastery and ancient settlement have been developed for a better heritage tourism destination this consequence would never happen. The villagers think that valuable monuments have been dealt

corruptly specially by the foreign archaeologists. When the author conveyed this idea to the authorities in archaeological department they denied these accusations saying that the archaeologically important artifacts found at 'Godawaya' are kept in the central museum in Colombo. The message that is conveyed by the whole story is that the authoritative nature in the management of archeological sites undermines the interests of public and pursues only the motives of authorities such as acquiring training in archeological excavations. Another point that is highlighted here is that attractions associated with contested identities, particularly in this case competing national identities, those that pose a challenge to the national identity as defined by the state and supported by the apparatus at its disposal are fallen at a disadvantage.

Though it is neglected 'Godawaya' is peculiar because it contains opportunities to have several types of tourism attractions in one place. A place for safari, wet land for nature trail, a river for boat riding, shallow sea for diving, ancient port, monastery and ancient settlement are situated at one place making it a special location to attract many different types of local as well as foreign tourists to this place. This opportunity is golden to convey a message that could have an effect in peace building at national level. The conventional way of prioritizing the tourism destinations for development need to be reconsidered, to promote 'Godawaya' like destinations which consists evidence that make people to re-think on stereotypical attitudes over 'others'.

The archeological evidence uncovered at the ancient settlement sites in 'Godawaya' and also in 'Ambalanthota' which is only about three kilometers away from 'Godawaya', present a story of a pre-historic human who could give a stimulus to think beyond the written history of the origin of people living in Sri Lanka. The archeological sites of pre-historical settlements can be developed as a tourist attraction to convey the message of these pre-historic settlements to people effectively at the settlement site itself. But unfortunately what is practiced now is that the artifacts found in the site are taken away from the site and kept in central museum after which the original

site is abandoned for nothing. Exhibiting the archeological evidence at tourism destinations would serve as a medium in which people's 'stories' are told and their history and culture portrayed in appealing ways. This type of tourist attractions could be part of a campaign to reshape group images and group identities. According to Hall (1998:180) the representation of heritage can have substantial implications for both collective and individual identity and hence for the creation of social realities. The formation of collective memory is important also for national integration a process derives from and contributes to the development of constitutive individuals, groups, and units. The state bears the primary responsibility for directing the integrative process, which may involve a variety of relationships among the constituent groups and between those constituents at the collective (Rajagopalan, S., 2006:4). The central authority has a big role to play in promoting heritage sites that are significant in the process of national integration. Another pathway opened at the 'Godawaya' archeological site to redefine identities is the strong economic and cultural inter-dependency between Sri Lanka and South India evident by the artifacts and the stylistic affinities of sculptures and script found at Godawaya monastery and harbor (Somadeva, 2005:12). The author share Gill's (1993:115) world system approach of interdependency in societies to explain the picture of the cultural interaction between South India and Sri Lanka since the late first millennium BCE. It is a truism that societies do not function independently. They should be understood in a context of a larger system. The questions of how societies interlinked with each other and how they maintained equilibrium in their systems became major theoretical issues in the recent discussions of economic anthropology (Wallerstein, 1993; Ekholm and Friedman, 1993). Gill (1993:115) argues on the significance of hegemonic changes in the formation of world systems. His main idea describes the hegemonic transitions as reflectors of underline rhythm of competition in the world system and the cycles of resources and wealth accumulation.

But he refuses to admit the existence of a single world system but instead interlinks different hegemonic powers (Franke and Gills 1993: 115).

Gill's assumption, which gives a more balanced frame of reference to discuss the complexity of the interactions of different ancient social systems like those in Sri Lanka and South India. The archeological evidence unearthed from costal sites in South India and the sites in Sri Lanka suggests that there was a strong economic and cultural interdependency between these two areas during the early first millennium CE (Somadeva, 2005:12). Somadeva's (2005:12) main argument is that there was a dependency network, which operated actively during the last century of the first millennium of the BCE and the early centuries of the first millennium CE. Two systemic waves of socio-political and cultural interactions, which equally affected South India and Sri Lanka, can be identified between 300 BCE and 300CE. The first wave was associated with the urban expansion of the Gangetic Valley (Bandaranayake, 1987). In Sri Lanka it was apparent in the period between c.250-100 BCE. The second wave is evident after c.100BCE and continued till the decline of the *Satavahana* rule in the Andhra region in South India and the lower early historic phases in Sri Lanka (500-250 BCE) characterized by high quality textured dorsally glazed roof tiles, pottery types (Deraniyagala,1972;1992: 711) overlap with other South Indian cultural traits. According to Somadeva (2005:18) the systemic equilibrium of the socio-cultural and politico-economic dependency was interrupted by the political changes of the later periods. He explains (2005:10) that many individual research projects on archeology made limited impact on the archeology of the wider Indian Ocean region in general. They produce valuable data and finally incorporated their interpretations, which are mostly site specific or if not, perhaps, limited to their national geographical and socio-cultural objectives. The ancient city of Thisamaharama in the Southern province of Sri Lanka is one of the examples he has given for this phenomenon. In the politics of tourism in Asia, Linda Richter (1989:2) observes that 'tourism is a highly political phenomenon, the implications of which have been only rarely perceived and almost nowhere fully understood'.

Conclusion

The fate of archeological excavation at 'Godawaya' exemplifies that in Sri Lanka the heritage sites with potentials in promoting national integration have been neglected intentionally or unintentionally by authorities. The active choices made at the top level have been a determinant in shaping ethnic identity at tourism site. These choices however are always constrained, and they are made by a range of actors-a range that increases as tourism enters the picture. In the example discussed in this paper, local people have been victimized and relatively passive along the tourism development projects. The top-down approach in heritage site development in Sri Lanka has undermined public interests in heritage tourism which carries alternative means of building a collective Sri Lankan identity via heritage tourism. In Sri Lanka, the author identifies the existence of different interpretations and stories about the history and promotion of heritage tourism in line with those differences representing only certain aspects of the history and culture has caused more ethnic polarization. At the same time the author identifies opportunities in heritage tourism to promulgate collective identity in Sri Lanka.

Reference

- Amore, D', J., L., (1994), Tourism the World Peace Industry, in *Tourism an Exploration* (edited by) Harssel, Van, J., Prentice-Hall publication, New Jersey, USA
- Babic, D., (1996), Can Heritage bring Peace and prosperity? Heritage and Media in Europe
- Bandaranayake, S., (1987), The first and Second Urbanization in Sri Lanka – a review. Paper presented at the second SAARC Archeological congress, Colombo, December 10th 1987
- Burns, M., P., (2006), Social Identities and the Cultural Politics of Tourism in *Tourism and Social Identities*, (edited by), Novelli, M., and Burns, M., P., Elsevier publication, London
- Craik, J., (2001), Cultural Tourism, in *Special Interest Tourism* (edited by) Douglas, Norman, Douglas, Ngaire, and Derrett, Ros, Wiley and Sons Publication, Australia

- Dann, G., M., S., (1988), Images of Cyprus projected by Tour Operators, *Problems of Tourism*, Volume 41(3)
- Day, Ian, (1999), *Grounding Grounded Theory*, Academic press, London
- Deraniyagala, S., (1992), The Prehistory of Sri Lanka (part II), Department of Archeology, Colombo
- Ekhholm, K., and Friedman, J., (1993), 'Capital' Imperialism and Exploitation in Ancient World Systems in *In the world system five hundred years or five thousand?* edited by Frank and Gills, Routledge Publication, London
- Frank, A., G., and Gills, B., K., (1993) *In the world system five hundred years or five thousand?*, Routledge Publication, London
- Gills, B., K., (1993), Hegemonic Transitions in the World system in *In the world system five hundred years or five thousand?* edited by Frank and Gills, Routledge Publication, London
- Glaser, B., G., and. Strauss, A., L., (1967). *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*, Aldine, New York.
- Gonzale, M., V., (2008), Intangible heritage tourism and identity, *Tourism Management*, Elsevier Publication, 29(4)
- Van den Berghe, and Pierre, L., (1980), Tourism as Ethnic Relations: A Case study of Cuzco, Peru. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 3:375
- Hall, M., C., (1998), *Tourism and Politics: Policy, Power and Place*, Wiley Publication, New York
- Hobesbawn, E., (1983), Introduction: Inventing Traditions in *The Invention of Traditions* (edited by) Hobesbawn, E., and Rander, T. Cambridge University Press, UK
- Hobsban, E., (1983) Introduction: Inventing Traditions, in *The Intervention of Traditions* (edited by) Hobsban, E., and Ranger, T., Cambridge University press, London
- Iyer, Krishna, J., V., R., (1989), The Sri Lanka Accord in *The Tamil National Question* (edited by) Seevaratnam, N., Konark Publication, New Delhi

- Katriel, T., (1993), Our Future is Where Our Past Is: Studying Heritage Museum as Ideological and Performative Arenas. *Communication Monographs* 60: 69-75
- Kenneth, Bailey, D., (1982), *Methods of Social Research*, Free press, New York
- Kriesberg, K., Identity Issues (Online), Beyond Intractability project IV, University of Colorado, USA, Retrieved July, 2003, from http://www.beyondintractability.org/user_guides/identity_conflicts/?nid=5306
- Linda, Richter, (1989), *The Politics of Tourism in Asia*, University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, USA
- Mitchell, K., (2004), Parth way to peace: What Heritage based collaboration offers the cross border Niagara region, Leading Edge conference March 3rd 2004
- Morgan and Pritchard, (1998), *Tourism promotion and Power: Creating Images, creating identities*, Wiley publication, New York
- Patton, M., Q., (2002), *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Method*, Thousand Oaks CA, Sage Publication, London
- Poria, Y., (2001), The show must not go on, *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, Volume 3(2)
- Pitchford, S., (2008), *Identity Tourism Imagine and Imaging the Nation*, Emerald publication, UK
- Rajagopalan, S., (2006), *State and Nation in South Asia*, Viva publication, New Delhi
- Scherrer, C., (2002), *Structural Prevention of Ethnic Violence*, Palgrave Publication, New York
- Shank, Gary, D., (2002), *Qualitative Research: A personal skill approach*, Merrill publication, New Jersey, USA
- Silva, K., M., De, (1983), *A History of Sri Lanka*, OUP Publication, New Delhi
- Singleton, R., A., and Straits, B., C., (1999), *Approaches to Social Research*, Oxford University Press, Oxford

- Somadeva, R., (2005), Andhra-Sri Lanka sub-system: Probably another way of thinking, *Journal of Indian Ocean Archeology* No:2: 8-19
- Southern Development Master Plan, Southern Development Authority, Matara, Sri Lanka.
- Trotter, Robin, (2001), Heritage Tourism, in *Special Interest Tourism* (edited by) Douglas, Norman, Douglas, Ngaire, and Derrett, Ros, Wiley and Sons Publication, Australia.
- Wijeyapala, W., and Kessler O., Ancient Ruhuna, Verlag Philipp von Zabern Publication, Germany