LIGHTWEIGHT SECURITY MECHANISM TO MITIGATE NETWORK LAYER BASED ACTIVE ATTACKS IN A MOBILE ADHOC NETWORK

DEAN'S OFFICE

15 AUG 2022

Faculty of Applied Sciences

By

UTHUMANSA AHAMED

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Applied Sciences, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY in Computer Sciences

ACC NO.	PGT 0003
CALL NO.	005 · 82 AHA

Faculty of Applied Sciences
Rajarata University of Sri Lanka
Mihintale – Sri Lanka
May 2021

ABSTRACT

Mobile Ad hoc Network (MANET) is one type of an Ad hoc network. General properties of a MANET are self-configuration capability, open network boundary, infrastructureless network nature, and limited resources. This nature of MANET opens the network to different security threats. Network layer-based Active attacks are widespread. Available security solutions for Active attacks contain complex calculations. Therefore, the objective of this research is to propose a lightweight security mechanism to enhance and strengthen the security of the data communications between source and destination nodes in a MANET. This research consists of three different experiments. Experiment I was designed to investigate the behaviours and impacts of malicious nodes on network performance. Experiment II was designed to identify the impacts and validity based on node mobility when designing security countermeasures in MANET. Experiment III was designed to propose a widely deployed lightweight security mechanism based on the results of experiments I and II. Ad-hoc On-Demand Vector is used as the routing protocol to detect network layer attacks. Blackhole and Grayhole attacks are used as network layer-based Active attacks. Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR), Average End to End Delay (AEED), Throughput, Average Data Dropping Rate (ADDR), and Simulation Processing Time at Intermediate Nodes (SPTIN) are measured by changing the number of connected nodes in the network and by changing the speed of the nodes. A network without attack is used to compare the performances of each network. In experiment I, due to the impact of the blackhole attack, compared to the network without attack, the PDR was found to be 0.28%, AEED was infinity and Throughput was 0.33%. In experiment II, PDR and Throughput values are increased by twice in higher node mobility than in lower node mobility. The network that is affected by a grayhole attack shows higher performance than the network that was affected by a blackhole attack and lower performance than the network without attack. In experiment III, the performances of the proposed security mechanism were compared with the network without attack, and the values of PDR, AEED, Throughput, and SPTIN were found to be 98.0825%, 100.9346%, 99.9988%, and 96.5660% respectively. The data packet delivery ratio was 100.00% compared to the network without attack. The network which was affected by a blackhole attack showed a higher amount of ADDR than the network without the attack and the lowest amount of PDR. The network that was affected by a blackhole or a grayhole attack showed underperformance than the network without the attack. It was also found that the blackhole attack degraded the network performance more than the grayhole attack. The mobility of nodes degraded the network performance. Node mobility either led to the breaking of the links between nodes or the creation of new links. Therefore, node mobility created an opportunity to break the link between malicious and other nodes in the network. Active attacks degraded the MANET performance. The main similarity of most Active attacks was the abnormal amount of packet dropping. Therefore, node mobility is a favourable factor for network security. Furthermore, this implied that the security mechanism should be flexible enough to handle security attacks amidst node mobility. Therefore, initial screening to detect malicious nodes was found to be effective in the presence of mobile nodes. The proposed security mechanism performs well in the presence of a blackhole attack. Moreover, it performs well in PDR, AEED, and Throughput compared to the network without attack. The performance of the AEED and SPTIN proves that the proposed solution is free from complex calculations. The scope of the proposed security mechanism can be expanded into a lightweight Intruder Detection System to handle different types of security attacks.

CONTENTS

LIST OF	ABBREVIATIONS	XI
LIST OF	ΓABLES	XIII
LIST OF	FIGURES	XIV
СНАРТЕ	R 1	_
INTROD	UCTION	1
1.1 Me	obile Ad-hoc Network	1
1.2 Pro	oblem statement	2
	search objective and question	4
	Research objective	4
	Research question	4
	search inspiration	6
	search methodology	7
	search outcome	7
1.7 Th	esis organization	8
CHAPTE		0
	TURE REVIEW	9
	troduction	9
	eneral security of MANET	9
	Mobility	10
	Infrastructure-less network	10
	Limited resources	11
	Open network boundary	11
	outing protocols	12
	Proactive routing protocols	13
	Reactive routing protocols	13
	ODV overview	15
	Path discovery	16
	Routing table management	16
	Path maintenance	16
	Local connectivity management	17
	curity attacks	18
	Active attacks	20
2.5.2	Passive attacks	21
	etwork Performance Metrics (NPM)	21
2.6.1	PDR	22
2.6.2	AEED The section of the section of t	22
2.6.3		23
2.6.4	ADDR	23
2.6.5	SPTIN	24
	exonomy of available security mechanisms	24
2.7.1	Trust-based mechanisms	24
2.7.2	Sequence number validation-based mechanisms	25
2.7.3	Threshold value-based mechanisms	23

2	71 1	nomaly detection-based mechanisms	25
	2.7.4 Ar	egical inference-based mechanisms	25
	2.7.5 Logical inference-based mechanisms2.7.6 Surveillance-based mechanisms		
2		oss-layer collaboration-based mechanism	25 26
			26
2	2.7.8 Clustering-based mechanisms2.7.9 Node collaboration-based mechanisms		26
		cknowledgment-based mechanisms	27
2	2.7.10 AC	outing packets-based mechanisms	27
2	2.7.11 RC	S-based mechanism	27
		ardware-based mechanisms	27
		yptography-based mechanisms	28
		bllective mechanisms	28
2.8		weight nature	36
2.9		uter simulation	39
2.10		imental validation	41
2.11	Summ		41
2.11	Danin	ital y	
CHA	APTER 3	;	
		METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Introd	uction	43
3.2	Resear	rch strategy	43
3.3	Resear	rch sub-questions and objectives	44
3.4		ork simulator	45
3.5	Black	hole attack simulation	46
	3.5.1	Modification on aodv.h	47
	3.5.2	Modification on aodv.cc	47
	3.5.3	Finalizing the changes	50
	3.5.4	Applying the blackhole attack	51
	3.5.5	Grayhole and wormhole attack simulation	51
3.6	Exper	imental setup	51
	3.6.1	Experiment I	52
	3.6.2	Simulator configurations	53
	3.6.3	Assumptions and considerations	53
	3.6.4	Experiment II	54
	3.6.5	Simulator configurations	56
	3.6.6	Assumptions and considerations	57
	3.6.7	Experiment III	57
	3.6.8	Simulator configurations	58
	3.6.9	Assumptions and considerations	59
3.7	Simul	ation outputs	59
3.8	Exper	imental best practices	60
3.9	Sumn	nary	61
~			
	APTER 4		(2
		SOLUTION	62
4.1		luction	62 62
4.2		sed solution	63
		rotection	68
		npoint	70
,	1 4 1)*	OMANTION	/ 1

4.3 Summary	71
CHAPTER 5	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	72
5.1 Introduction	72
5.2 Results	72
5.2.1 Experiment I	72
5.2.2 Experiment II	79
5.2.3 Experiment III	91
5.3 Summary	95
CHAPTER 6	
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	97
6.1 Introduction	97
6.2 Research findings	97
6.3 Achievement of the research objective	97
6.4 Efficiency of the proposed security med	chanism 102
6.5. Limitations	103
6.6. Future work	103
	104
6.6.1 Network performance evaluation	104
6.6.2 Extending available service	
6.6.3 Component for complex security sy	
6.6.4 Expansion on different network stru	
6.6.5 Compatibility for green networking	105
6.6.6 Arise research question	105
6.7 Summary	105
REFERENCES	107
APPENDIX A	
Basic Parameters of the Simulator	122
pasie i maneters of the simulator	
APPENDIX B	124
Sample NAM Visualizations	124
APPENDIX C	
Routing Table Content Display Function	125
APPENDIX D	106
A Sample TCL Program Used in the Experime	ent 126
APPENDIX E	
Random Movement Details of Nodes in Exper	riment 132
APPENDIX F	
Explanation of Trace File Format	134
Explanation of Trace The Pointat	154
APPENDIX G	
Defining Malicious Node List	140

APPENDIX H Grayhole Attack Simulation	147
APPENDIX I Wormhole Attack Simulation	148
APPENDIX J List of Publications	150