

SAMODHANA

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka

Vol. 9, Issue II, (December) 2020

The Journal of Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities

An Examination of Job Satisfaction of Graduate Teachers in Secondary Schools in Sri Lanka

W.A.M.G.P.K. Wanasinghe*

Pulathisipura National College of Education, Polonnaruwa, Sri Lanka *Correspondence: pkwanasinghe@gmail.com

> Received: 16 October 2020 Accepted: 04 December 2020

සංක්ෂප්තය

ඕනෑම රටක ජාතියේ කුළුණු ලෙස හඳුන්වන ගුරුවරුන්, සිසුන්ගේ දනුම, ආකල්ප හා කුසලතා සංවර්ධනය කිරීමේ දී වැදගත් කාර්යභාරයක් ඉටු කරනු ලබයි. ගුරුවරයාගේ රැකියා තෘප්තියේ බලපෑම රැකියාවේ ගුණාත්මකභාවය හා ඵලදායිතාව සමඟ සෘජුවම සම්බන්ධ වන අතර එය සේවක හිතකාමී වාතාවරණයක් කරා ගෙන යන ගුරුවරුන්ගේ සංවිධානාත්මක හා චිත්වේගීය හැඟීම් සඳහා බලපානු ලබයි. උතුරු මැද පළාතේ ද්විතීයික පාසල්වල සේවය කරන උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන්ගේ රැකියා තෘප්තිය පරීකෂා කිරීම මෙම අධායනයේ අරමුණ විය. පර්යේෂණයේ නියැදිය ලෙස අනුරාධපුර සහ පොළොන්නරුව යන දිස්තික්ක දෙකෙන් ද්විතීයික පාසල්වල සේවය කරන උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන් හත් සියයක් තෝරා ගන්නා ලදි. අහඹු නියැදි කුමය භාවිත කරමින් නියැදිය තෝරා ගත් අතර දත්ත රැස් කිරීමේ උපකරණය ලෙස පුශ්නාවලියක් යොදා ගන්නා ලදි. සමාජ විදාහව සඳහා සංඛාහනමය මෘදුකාංගය (SPSS) භාවිත කරමින් මධායනා ලකුණු ගණනය කරමින් ස්තී පුරුෂභාවය සහ පාසල් වර්ගය අනුව රැකියා තෘප්තියේ ස්වභාවය ස්වාධීන නියැදි පරීකෂාව (Independent Sample T-Test) අනුව සිදු කළ අතර සේවා පළපුරුද්ද සහ සේවා අත්දකීම් අනුව රැකියා තෘප්තියේ ස්වභාවය ඒක සාධක විචලතා විශ්ලේෂණය (One Way ANOVA) අනුව සිදු කරන ලදි. දත්ත විශ්ලේෂණය අනුව ගුරු සිසු අන්තර් පුද්ගල සම්බන්ධතාව සහ උසස්වීම් සම්බන්ධයෙන් උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන් තරමක් සැහීමට පත්වන නමුත් ඔවුන්ට ලැබෙන වැටුප සම්බන්ධයෙන් සැහීමකට පත් නො වන බව අනාවරණය විය. එමෙන් ම ජාතික පාසල් සහ පළාත් පාසල් උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන් අතර රැකියා තෘප්තියේ සැලකිය යුතු වෙනසක් තිබුණි. නමුත් රැකියා තෘප්තිය සම්බන්ධයෙන් පිරිමි

සහ කාන්තා උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන් අතර සැලකිය යුතු මට්ටමේ වෙනසක් දක්නට තො ලැබුණු අතර එය 1C සහ 1AB පාසල් අනුව ද තහවුරු විය. සේවා පලපුරුද්ද අනුව සහ ඔවුන් හැදෑරූ අධාාපනවේදී, සෞන්දර්ය, කලා, වාණිජ, විදාාව සහ ගණීතය යන උපාධියේ ස්වභාවය අනුව රැකියා තෘප්තිය සම්බන්ධයෙන් සැලකිය යුතු වෙනසක් පවතින බව ද අනාවරණය විය. අවසාන වශයෙන් රජය, අධාාපන පරිපාලකයින් සහ විදුහල්පතිවරුන් අපේඤා කරන පරිදි අධාාපනයෙන් උපරිම ඵල නෙලා ගැනීමට උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරුන්ගේ රැකියා තෘප්තිය ඉහළ නැංවීමට අදාළ කියාමාර්ග අනුගමනය කිරීම වැදගත් බව නිගමනය කළ හැකිය.

මුඛා පද: ද්විතීයික පාසල්, උපාධිධාරි ගුරුවරු, රැකියා තෘප්තිය, උතුරු මැද පළාත

1. Introduction

The teacher has a great responsibility to stabilize students' national goals and common skills. In order to carry out this procedure, they should have a sound knowledge in syllabus and the teaching and learning process. It is also mandatory to seek the support and the guidance of the relevant educational authorities. The teacher has a great responsibility to overcome this problem. Above all, the teacher needs physical and mental fitness. Rajkatoch (2012) states that if the teacher has a fair administration system, a study area, a promotion process, an evaluation process and a satisfactory salary, they will do their best. Morgan (1986) states that employees are the people who want to lead a healthy life and stay energetic. The teacher is that kind of an employee. He wants to live an overall healthy life. He prefers to be energetic at his school. Therefore, it is important to know whether the teachers are satisfied with the schools.

The present system of education gives priority to the graduate teacher. The reason is that secondary education depends on the teaching of graduate teachers. Olulub (2008) notes that teachers play a major role in educating secondary students. Therefore, they are highly concerned about their job satisfaction. Witt (2007) suggests that workplace productivity and quality depend on such factors. Education providers and the Ministry of Education should be able to identify the gap between the satisfaction and dissatisfaction of professionals and take steps to make their workplace satisfactory. Sacco (2002) states that the quality of teaching and learning practices and teacher sustainability also influence the development of a satisfactory education system. This statement can be corroborated by Christodolidis and Papiano (2007). They say that the education system cannot be developed with dissatisfied teachers.

Dissatisfaction affects the teacher, the workplace, and the education system. The Principal who is the Education Manager and Administrative Officer of the school can eliminate dissatisfaction and create a satisfactory workplace. Satisfaction and effectiveness are influenced by a quality teaching and learning process. Job satisfaction directly affects teachers' physical and mental fitness. Peltzer (2009) and others in South African studies have shown that job stress, job dis- satisfaction and depression can be adversely affected by high blood pressure, gastrointestinal injury, asthma, and stress. Misuse of tobacco and alcohol can also be a side effect. Au & Ho (2006) reveals that teachers with low job satisfaction tend to suffer from anxiety, repentance, and stress, whereas teachers with high job satisfaction do not suffer from stress.

The purpose of any educational system is to prepare capable citizens who will assist in the political, social and economic development of the country. When the various components of the education system are good, relevant goals can be achieved. Satisfaction among the various components of the education system strengthens the teacher's effectiveness and productivity. The teacher is satisfied and is committed to teaching. If teachers are not satisfied with their jobs, their morality is diminished and the power supply of the talented is undermined, says Naylor (1999). According to Luthans (1998), working in a friendly environment is easier for them to work. When the opposite happens, tasks can be difficult to perform. When needs are not met, a person can be affected emotionally, morally, and economically. Government administrators and principals must understand the style and the support for the development of teachers in order to maintain the effectiveness of the school. The main objective of this study was to examine the job satisfaction of graduate teachers working in secondary schools in the North Central Province. The following objectives and null hypotheses are examined for this study.

2. Objectives

The present study intends to achieve the following objectives.

To explore the job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers

To compare the level of job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers based on gender.

To compare the level of job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers based on the school type.

To compare the level of job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers based on the service experience.

To compare the level of job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers based on the nature of first degree qualification.

2. Null Hypotheses

| Ho1: | There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction of male and female secondary school graduate teachers. |
|------|--|
| Ho2: | There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of 1AB and 1C secondary school graduate teachers. |
| Ho3: | There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of National and Provincial secondary school graduate teachers. |
| Ho4: | There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction and service experience of secondary school graduate teachers. |
| Ho5: | There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level and the |

nature of first degree qualification of secondary school graduate teachers.

3. Methodology

The study used a quantitative approach with survey method. This study involved in the population of teachers in Sri Lanka. The target population was teachers in two districts namely Anuradhapura and Polonnaruwa in the North Central province of Sri Lanka. A total of 700 teachers including 450 from Anuradhapura District, 250 from Polonnaruwa district were selected randomly for this study. Meanwhile the data for this study was gathered by using a set of questionnaire. Data was analyzed through software 'Statistical Package for Social Sciences' (SPSS) version-21, as well as the rating terms and their interpretation. As the lowest possible score on the five-point scale was 1 and the highest was 5, the total range was 5-1=4. The length of each of the five categories was thus calculated as 4/5=0.8, giving equivalent mean values for the five categories of 1.00 to 1.80, 1.81-2.60 and so on.

This gives each of the items on all of the rating scales an equal weight. Mean scores, standard deviation were calculated and t-test and One Way ANOVA were applied for the comparison of job satisfaction level of gender, School type, service experience period and nature of first degree Qualification. Table 1 shows the number of graduate teachers who joined the repository depending on the gender, the school type, the length of service and the nature of the first degree. According to table 1, the service experience period was divided into four sections and six graduates were involved depending on the nature of first degree Qualification.

| Variable | Number | Percentage | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|
| | Male | 223 | 31.9% |
| Gender | Female | 477 | 68.1% |
| School Type | 1 C | 385 | 55% |
| | 1AB | 315 | 45% |
| | Provincial | 525 | 75% |
| | National | 175 | 25% |
| Service Period | 1-10 | 300 | 42.9% |
| | 11 - 20 | 343 | 49.0% |
| | 21 - 30 | 49 | 7.0% |
| | More than 31 | 8 | 1.1% |
| | B.A. | 377 | 53.9% |
| | B. Ed. | 47 | 6.7% |
| | B.A. (Aesthet- | 68 | 9.7% |
| Nature of first degree | ic) | | |
| | B.Com. | 73 | 10.4% |
| | B.Sc. (Science) | 95 | 13.6% |
| | B.Sc. (Maths) | 40 | 5.7% |

 Table 1

 The scattering nature of the graduate teachers involved in the sample

4. RESULTS

Ho1. There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction of male and female secondary school graduate teachers.

| Gender | | N | Mean | S. D. | t-test for | t-test for Equality of Mea | |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|--------|--------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Variables | | | | | t | df | Sig. |
| | | | | | | | (2-tailed) |
| Colom | Female | 477 | 2.4053 | .45394 | 2.115 | 698 | .035 |
| Salary | Male | 223 | 2.3296 | .41265 | | | |
| Leave | Female | 477 | 2.8323 | .34325 | 1.204 | 698 | .229 |
| Leave | Male | 223 | 2.7997 | .31159 | | | |
| Construct | Female | 477 | 2.9972 | .38149 | 4.278 | 698 | .000 |
| Seminar | Male | 223 | 2.8662 | .36851 | | | |
| Duanatian | Female | 477 | 3.4513 | .45502 | -2.346 | 698 | .019 |
| Promotion | Male | 223 | 3.5325 | .35957 | | | |
| Principal | Female | 477 | 3.3078 | .62780 | -1.068 | 698 | .286 |
| Leadership | Male | 223 | 3.3565 | .38338 | | | |
| Internal | Female | 477 | 3.1775 | .32545 | -3.905 | 698 | .000 |
| Supervision | Male | 223 | 3.2788 | .30697 | | | |
| E Local | Female | 477 | 2.9004 | .35212 | 1.629 | 698 | .104 |
| External Supervision | Male | 223 | 2.8498 | .44235 | | | |
| | Female | 477 | 3.3753 | .41080 | 2.155 | 698 | .031 |
| Parents Inter. Rel. | Male | 223 | 3.3038 | .40397 | | | |
| | Female | 477 | 3.6751 | .41760 | -1.976 | 698 | .049 |
| Student Inter. Rel. | Male | 223 | 3.7407 | .39096 | | | |
| | Female | 477 | 3.2987 | .66390 | .011 | 698 | .991 |
| Staff Inter. Rel. | Male | 223 | 3.2982 | .41533 | | | |

Table 2 The t-test results by Gender

Note. *p < .05

Table 2 demonstrates that some of the variables (Leave, Principal Leadership, External Supervision, Staff Interpersonal Relationship) did not show any significant difference with respect to gender. However, a meaningful difference exists in the t value in terms of Salary, Seminar, Promotion, Internal Supervision, Parent Interpersonal Relationship and Student Interpersonal Relationship. On Salary, Seminar and Parents Interpersonal Relationship on factors female teachers show higher job satisfaction than males. So, it is said that female teachers were more satisfied in Salary, Seminar and Parents Interpersonal Relationship aspects as compared with male teachers. Also, Male teachers show higher job satisfaction than females on factors such as Internal Supervision and Students Interpersonal Relationship. So, it is said that male teachers were more satisfied in Internal Supervision and Students Interpersonal Relationship.

Prior research evidences are in favour of women satisfaction than males (Bogler, 2001; Kim, 2005; Ladebo, 2005; Jyoti & Sharma, 2006; Akhtar & Ali, 2009). But Crossman & Harris (2006); Menon & Anastasia (2011); Ariffin, et al. (2013); Panditharatne (2013); Maskan (2014); Ghavifekr & Pillai (2016); Bayraktar & Guney (2016) found that gender did not have a significant effect on job satisfaction. This finding also supports the study of Koustelios (2001); Mahmood et al. (2011); Iqbal & Akthar, (2014); Mocheche et al (2017) due to social aspirations, social acceptance, human relations and terms of service more satisfy at work by females more than male.

Ho2: There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of 1AB and 1C secondary school graduate teachers.

| School N Mean S. D. t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-----|-----------------|--|
| Variables | type | | IVICAL | 5. D. | t df | | Sig. (2-tailed) | |
| Colory | 1C | 385 | 2.3420 | .43493 | -2.603 | 698 | .009 | |
| Salary | 1AB | 315 | 2.4291 | .44723 | | | | |
| | 1C | 385 | 2.8411 | .34518 | 1.687 | 698 | .092 | |
| Leave | 1AB | 315 | 2.7984 | .31787 | | | | |
| | 1C | 385 | 2.9675 | .38868 | .923 | 698 | .356 | |
| Seminar | 1AB | 315 | 2.9407 | .37388 | | | | |
| Promotion | 1C | 385 | 3.5474 | .44068 | 4.875 | 698 | .000 | |
| Promotion | 1AB | 315 | 3.3913 | .39690 | | | | |
| Dringing! Logdorship | 1C | 385 | 3.3385 | .63417 | .791 | 698 | .429 | |
| Principal Leadership | 1AB | 315 | 3.3048 | .45834 | | | | |
| Internal Supervision | 1C | 385 | 3.2797 | .26735 | 6.516 | 698 | .000 | |
| Internal Supervision | 1AB | 315 | 3.1243 | .36253 | | | | |

Table 3The t-test results by School type (1AB & 1C)

| Futernal Currentiation | 1C | 385 | 2.7714 | .39582 | -9.095 | 698 | .000 |
|------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|-----|------|
| External Supervision | 1AB | 315 | 3.0222 | .31818 | | | |
| Derents Inter Del | 1C | 385 | 3.3688 | .39198 | 1.166 | 698 | .244 |
| Parents Inter. Rel. | 1AB | 315 | 3.3325 | .43017 | | | |
| Chudant Inter Dal | 1C | 385 | 3.7439 | .40585 | 3.449 | 698 | .001 |
| Student Inter. Rel. | 1AB | 315 | 3.6373 | .40841 | | | |
| Staff Inter Dal | 1C | 385 | 3.3844 | .62130 | 4.266 | 698 | .000 |
| Staff Inter. Rel. | 1AB | 315 | 3.1937 | .54587 | | | |

Note. *p < .05

Ho3: There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of National and Provincial secondary school graduate teachers.

| Variables | School | Ν | Mean | S. D. | t-test f | t-test for Equality of Means | | |
|----------------------|----------|-----|--------|--------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Variables | type | | | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | |
| Colony | National | 175 | 2.4876 | .48870 | | 698 | .000 | |
| Salary | Provinci | 525 | 2.3457 | .42028 | | | | |
| Leave | National | 175 | 2.8705 | .23445 | | 698 | .026 | |
| Leave | Provinci | 525 | 2.8057 | .35940 | | | | |
| Seminar | National | 175 | 2.9724 | .42251 | .676 | 698 | .500 | |
| Seminar | Provinci | 525 | 2.9498 | .36784 | | | | |
| Promotion | National | 175 | 3.5486 | .35628 | | 698 | .011 | |
| Promotion | Provinci | 525 | 3.4533 | .44760 | | | | |
| N | National | 175 | 3.4162 | .40585 | | 698 | .011 | |
| Principal Leadership | Provinci | 525 | 3.2924 | .60204 | | | | |
| Internal Supervision | National | 175 | 3.2581 | .33556 | | 698 | .022 | |
| Internal Supervision | Provinci | 525 | 3.1937 | .31730 | | | | |
| Eutomal Cumom daion | National | 175 | 3.0000 | .29578 | | 698 | .000 | |
| External Supervision | Provinci | 525 | 2.8457 | .40158 | | | | |
| Parents Inter. Rel. | National | 175 | 3.3357 | .45146 | 626 | 698 | .532 | |
| Parents miler. Ref. | Provinci | 525 | 3.3581 | .39511 | | | | |
| Student Inter Del | National | 175 | 3.7429 | .33091 | | 698 | .081 | |
| Student Inter. Rel. | Provinci | 525 | 3.6803 | .43252 | | | | |
| Staff Intar Dal | National | 175 | 3.2929 | .43254 | 146 | 698 | .884 | |
| Staff Inter. Rel. | Provinci | 525 | 3.3005 | .64135 | | | | |

 Table 4

 The t-test results by School type (National & Provincial)

Note. *p < .05

According to table 3, t value is not significant with the following variable (Leave, Seminar, Principal Leadership, Parents Interpersonal relationship). The job satisfaction level of 1AB graduate teachers with mean value and 1C graduate teachers with mean is nearly same. However, there is meaningful difference exists in the t value in terms of Salary, Promotion, Internal Supervision, External Supervision, Students Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship. On Salary and External Supervision factors 1AB graduate teachers show higher job satisfaction than 1C graduate teachers. So, it is said that 1AB graduate teachers were more satisfied in Salary and External supervision aspects as compared with 1C teachers. As well as On Promotion, Internal Supervision, Students Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship on factors 1C teachers show higher job satisfaction than 1AB. So, it is said that 1C graduate teachers were more satisfied in Promotion, Internal Supervision, Students Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship on factors 1C teachers show higher job satisfaction than 1AB. So, it is said that 1C graduate teachers were more satisfied in Promotion, Internal Supervision, Students Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship aspects as compared with 1AB graduate teachers.

According to table 4, there is no meaningful difference between averages in terms of Seminar, parents interpersonal relationship, students interpersonal relationship and staff interpersonal relationship variables. However, salary, leave, promotion, principal leadership, internal supervision and external supervision show a significant difference. On salary, leave, promotion, principal leadership, internal supervision and external supervision variables, National school graduate teachers show higher job satisfaction than Provincial school graduate teachers. So, it is said that national school graduate teachers were more satisfied in salary, leave, promotion, principal leadership, internal supervision and external supervision aspects as compared with provincial school teachers.

School type has impact on job satisfaction of secondary school of graduate teachers. It means that job satisfaction of graduate teachers did increase or decrease with the School type. Crossman & Harris (2006); Matsuoka (2015); Nyamubi (2016) and Sener & Ozan (2017) revealed that the place of work, the nature of the location, and the school structure all affect a teacher's job satisfaction. But Ranawaka (2006) has revealed that the workplace does not affect job satisfaction. Hughey & Murphy (1984); Ruhl-Smith (1991); Arnold et al (1998); Tasnim (2006); Weerasinghe (2007) and Chamundeswari (2013) have revealed that urban teachers are more likely to be satisfied with a job because facilities are higher for an urban school teacher than a rural school teacher. It means that graduate teachers have shown a significant difference in their job satisfaction depending on the type of school.

Ho4: There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of service experience secondary school graduate teachers.

Result of ANOVA that was implemented with the purpose of testing whether there are meaningful impact of service experience on job satisfaction are given in table 5.

| Variables | Source of Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|--------|-------|
| | | | | - | 25.020 | 000* |
| | Between Groups | 13.321 | 3 | 4.440 | 25.038 | .000* |
| Salary | Within Groups | 123.436 | 696 | .177 | | |
| | Total | 136.758 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.599 | 3 | .866 | 8.018 | .000* |
| Leave | Within Groups | 75.199 | 696 | .108 | | |
| | Total | 77.797 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 1.999 | 3 | .666 | 4.635 | .003* |
| Seminar | Within Groups | 100.030 | 696 | .144 | | |
| | Total | 102.029 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.200 | 3 | .733 | 4.050 | .007* |
| Promotion | Within Groups | 126.059 | 696 | .181 | | |
| | Total | 128.259 | 699 | | | |
| Principal Leader- | Between Groups | 8.551 | 3 | 2.850 | 9.356 | .000* |
| ship | Within Groups | 212.046 | 696 | .305 | | |
| | Total | 220.597 | 699 | | | |
| Internal Supervi- | Between Groups | .473 | 3 | .158 | 1.517 | .209 |
| sion | Within Groups | 72.421 | 696 | .104 | | |
| | Total | 72.894 | 699 | | | |
| External Supervi- | Between Groups | .929 | 3 | .310 | 2.114 | .097 |
| sion | Within Groups | 101.921 | 696 | .146 | | |
| | Total | 102.849 | 699 | | | |

Table 5The ANOVA results by service experience

Samodhana Volume 9, Issue II – (December) 2020

| | Between Groups | 2.417 | 3 | .806 | 4.879 | .002* |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Parents Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 114.916 | 696 | .165 | | |
| | Total | 117.333 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.193 | 3 | .731 | 4.408 | .004* |
| Student Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 115.402 | 696 | .166 | | |
| | Total | 117.594 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 1.183 | 3 | .394 | 1.112 | .344 |
| Staff Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 246.915 | 696 | .355 | | |
| | Total | 248.099 | 699 | | | |
| Totally Satisfaction | Between Groups | .289 | 3 | .096 | 2.674 | .046* |
| | Within Groups | 25.074 | 696 | .036 | | |
| | Total | 25.363 | 699 | | | |

Note. *p < .05

According to table 5, there is a difference between the job satisfaction level of service experience secondary school graduate teachers. Hence, the null hypothesis, "There is no significant difference of job satisfaction between the job satisfaction level of service experience secondary school graduate teachers" is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted. As well as there is a meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Parents Interpersonal relationship and Student Interpersonal relationship variables. But there is no difference between averages in terms of Internal Supervision, External Supervision and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables.

. Service experience has an impact on job satisfaction of secondary school graduate teachers. It means that job satisfaction of graduate teachers did increase or decrease with the service experience. Confirming these findings, Mertler (**2002**), who studied the job satisfaction of middle- and high-school teachers in the United States, pointed out that job satisfaction was lower in mid-service than in early hired teachers. Crossman and Harris (2006), who conducted a study of secondary school teachers' job satisfaction in United Kingdom, further confirmed that there is a relationship between work experience and job satisfaction. But a study of teacher job satisfaction in South Carolina, USA, by Tillman and Tillman (2008) showed that there was no correlation between work experience and job satisfaction. The same idea was confirmed by a Nigerian study by Akiri and Ogborugbo (2009). As well as there is meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Parents Interpersonal relationship and Student Interpersonal relationship variables. It means that graduate teachers work with different job

satisfaction levels with their service experience between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Parents Interpersonal relationship and Student Interpersonal relationship variables. However there is no difference between averages of Internal Supervision, External Supervision and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables.

Ho2: There is no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of nature of first degree qualification secondary school graduate teachers.

Result of ANOVA that was implemented with the purpose of testing whether there are meaningful impact of nature of first degree qualification on job satisfaction are given in table 6.

| Variables | Source of Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|--------|-------|
| | Between Groups | 9.701 | 5 | 1.940 | 10.597 | .000* |
| Salary | Within Groups | 127.057 | 694 | .183 | | |
| | Total | 136.758 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 13.484 | 5 | 2.697 | 29.100 | .000* |
| Leave | Within Groups | 64.314 | 694 | .093 | | |
| | Total | 77.797 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 2.711 | 5 | .542 | 3.789 | .002* |
| Seminar | Within Groups | 99.318 | 694 | .143 | | |
| | Total | 102.029 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 15.862 | 5 | 3.172 | 19.589 | .000* |
| Promotion | Within Groups | 112.397 | 694 | .162 | | |
| | Total | 128.259 | 699 | | | |
| Principal Leadership | Between Groups | 16.074 | 5 | 3.215 | 10.909 | .000* |
| | Within Groups | 204.523 | 694 | .295 | | |
| l | Total | 220.597 | 699 | | | |

Table 6 The ANOVA results by Nature of first degree Qualification

| | Between Groups | 11.050 | 5 | 2.210 | 24.799 | .000* |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|-------|
| Internal Su- pervision | Within Groups | 61.845 | 694 | .089 | | |
| | Total | 72.894 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 13.045 | 5 | 2.609 | 20.162 | .000* |
| External Su- pervision | Within Groups | 89.804 | 694 | .129 | | |
| | Total | 102.849 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 15.242 | 5 | 3.048 | 20.722 | .000* |
| Parents Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 102.091 | 694 | .147 | | |
| | Total | 117.333 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 35.221 | 5 | 7.044 | 59.349 | .000* |
| Student Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 82.373 | 694 | .119 | | |
| | Total | 117.594 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 28.234 | 5 | 5.647 | 17.824 | .000* |
| Staff Inter. Rel. | Within Groups | 219.864 | 694 | .317 | | |
| | Total | 248.099 | 699 | | | |
| | Between Groups | 4.009 | 5 | .802 | 26.057 | .000* |
| Totally Satis- faction | Within Groups | 21.354 | 694 | .031 | | |
| | Total | 25.363 | 699 | | | |

Note. *p < .05

According to table 6, there is a difference between the job satisfaction level of the nature of the first degree qualification of secondary school graduate teachers. Hence, the null hypothesis, "There is no significant difference of job satisfaction between the job satisfaction level of the nature of first degree qualification secondary school graduate teachers." is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted. As well as there is a meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Internal Supervision, External Supervision, Parents Interpersonal relationship, Student Interpersonal relationship and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables.

Nature of the first degree qualification has an impact on the job satisfaction of secondary school of teachers. It means that job satisfaction of graduate teachers did increase or decrease with the nature of first degree qualification. These results also verify the study conducted by Turner (2007) who did a research on urban middle school teachers in state of Carolina, United States, It verifies the results of Badenhorst et al. (2008) research study on the job satisfaction of Urban Secondary school teachers in Namibia. Ting (1997) and Panditharathne (2013) concluded that the level of education of teachers does not affect job satisfaction. But their study by Akiri and Ogborugbo (2009) found that there was a negative relationship between education level and job satisfaction. But Akhtar and Ali (2009) stated that job satisfaction is proportional to the level of education. As well as there is a meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Internal Supervision, External Supervision, Parents Interpersonal relationship, Student Interpersonal relationship and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables. It means that graduate teachers working with their qualification of nature of first degree did show any significant difference in their job satisfaction.

5. Conclusions

This study was conducted to examine and compare variables of job satisfaction in secondary school graduate teachers in North Central Province. The findings of this research show that there is a difference between the job satisfaction level of service experience and the nature of the first degree qualification of secondary school graduate teachers. The finding of this research show that the secondary school teachers were satisfied slightly with student relationship and their promotion but not satisfied on salary. There is no significant difference variable of Leave, Principal Leadership, External Supervision, Staff Interpersonal Relationship with respect to gender. However, there is a meaningful difference variable of Salary, Seminar, Promotion, Internal Supervision, Parent Interpersonal Relationship and Student Interpersonal Relationship. According to the results of this study, no significant difference was found between male and female teachers relevant to job satisfaction. But female teachers were more satisfied in Salary, Seminar and Parents Interpersonal Relationship aspects as compared to male teachers. As well as male teachers were more satisfied in Internal Supervision and Students Interpersonal Relationship aspects as compared to female teachers. On the other hand, there was no difference in job satisfaction between 1C school & 1AB school graduate teachers. Whether 1AB graduate teachers were more satisfied in Salary and External supervision aspects as compared with 1C teachers. But 1C graduate teachers were more satisfied in Promotion, Internal Supervision, Students Interpersonal Relationship and Staff Interpersonal Relationship aspects as compared with 1AB graduate teachers. When analyzing data it was understood that, national school graduate teachers were more satisfied about salary, leave, promotion, principal leadership, internal supervision and external supervision aspects as compared with provincial school teachers. When considering each variable, there is a meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Parents Interpersonal relationship and Student Interpersonal relationship variables on service experience. Also, there is a meaningful difference between averages in terms of Salary, Leave, Seminar, Promotion, Principal Leadership, Internal Supervision, External Supervision, Parents Interpersonal relationship, Student Interpersonal relationship and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables on the nature of first degree qualification. But there is no difference between averages in terms of Internal Supervision, External Supervision and Staff Interpersonal relationship variables on service experience.

To continue the teaching learning process in a better way, the school practices on teachers' job satisfaction should be improved. Job satisfaction of teachers can raise the quality of education and raise the socio - economic, political and educational quality of Sri Lanka Therefore the following recommendations are forwarded to school principals, education officers and to the government.

- The government should provide a sufficient salary to retain the graduates who enter the teaching profession.
- The government and educational administrators should be given equal facilities to all school.
- Educational administrators should make teacher promotions on time.
- Internal and External supervision must be conducted systematically to standardize the system.
- Teacher trainee programs should be organized and implemented in a productive manner.
- Programs should be implemented to improve the parents and staff interpersonal relationship with the principal.

References

- Akhtar, Z. & Ali, N. (2009). Job status, gender and level of education as determinants of job satisfaction of senior secondary school teachers. Indian Journal of Social Science Researches, 6(1):56-59.
- Akiri, AA. & Ogborugbo, NM. (2009). Analytic examination of teachers' career satisfaction in public secondary schools. Studies on Home and Community Science, 3(1):51-55.
- Ariffin, A.H, Hashim, H. Sueb, O. (2013), Identifying Teachers' Job Satisfaction, from. https://www. researchgate.net/publication/282062708_IdentifyingTeachers'_Job_Satisfaction.
- Au, WT. & Ho, CL. (2006). Teaching satisfaction scale: measuring job satisfaction of teachers. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 66(1):172-185.
- Bayraktar, H.V. & Güney, B. (2016), Examination of Job Satisfaction of the Medical Vocational High School Teachers, Journal of Education and Training Studies Vol. 4, No. 4; April 2016
- Chamundeswari, D. (2013), Job Satisfaction and Performance of School Teachers, International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences

- Christodoulidis, T. & Papaioannou, A. (2007). A measure of teachers' achievement goals. Educational Psychology, 27(3):349-361.
- Crossman, A. and Harris, P. (2006) Job Satisfaction of Secondary School Teachers. Educational Management Administration and Leadership, 34, 29-46.
- Ghavifekr. Simin, Pillai. Nova Shelila, (2016), The Relationship Between School's Organizational Climate And Teacher's Job Satisfaction: Malaysia Experience, Asia Pacific Educational Review, v17, p87-106, from.
- https://eric.ed.gov/?q=teacher+job+satisfaction+&id=EJ1092068.html.
- Haughey, M. L., & Murphy, P. J. (1984). Are rural teachers satisfied with the quality of their work life. Education, 104. 56–66.
- Iqbal, A. & Akhtar, S. (2014), Job Satisfaction of Secondary School Teachers, Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences Vol. 5 No. 1
- Kim, YH. (2000). The 21st century's vision of the Korean teaching profession: issues and policy plans. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education and Development, 3(1):35-54.
- Koustelios, AD. (2001). Personal characteristics and job satisfaction of Greek teachers. The International Journal of Educational Management, 15(7):354-358.
- Ladebo, OJ. (2005). Effects of work-related attitudes on the intention to leave the profession: an examination of school teachers in Nigeria. Educational Management Administration and Leadership, 33(3):355-369.
- Luthans, F. (1998). Organisational behavior (8th ed.). India: McGraw-Hill.
- Mertler, CA. (2002). Job satisfaction and perception of motivation among middle and high school teachers. American Secondary Education, 31(1):43-53.
- Maskan, A. Kadir, (2014), Job satisfaction Levels of Secondary schools Physics, Chemistry & Biology Teachers, Education Research & Reviews v9 n22 p1173-1181, from. https://eric.ed.gov/?q= job+satisfaction+levels+of&id=EJ104752.html.
- Matsuoka, Ryoji (2015), School Socioeconomic Context& Teacher Job Satisfaction in Japanese Compulsory Education, Educational Studies in Japan International Yearbook, from.
- https://eric.ed.gov/?q=teacher+job+satisfaction+&id=EJ1064136.html.
- Menon, M. F. & Anastasia, A. (2011), Job Satifaction among Secondary School Teachers: The Role of Gender & Experience School Leadearship & Management, v31 n5 p435-450, Retrieved from
- https://eric.ed.gov/?q=job+satisfaction+among+secondary+school+teachers&id=EJ948115.html.
- Mocheche, E.K., Bosire, & Raburu, P. (2017) Influence of Gender on Job Satisfaction of Secondary School Teachers in Kenya, International Journal of Advanced and Multidisciplinary Social Science 2017, 3(2): 40-48, DOI: 10.5923/j.jamss.20170302.02
- Morgan, M. (1986). Images of Organization. California: Sage Publications.
- Naylor, J. (1999). Management. Harlow: Prentice hall.
- Olulube, N.P. (2006) Teacher Job Satisfaction and Motivation for School Effectiveness: An Assessment, Article in Essays in Education from. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229824348

- Panditharathna, D.A.P. (2013), An Investigative Study on the Factors Affecting the Job Satisfaction of Secondary School Teachers in the Kandy District, Unpublished Master of Philosophy (Education) Thesis, Peradeniya: University of Peradeniya.
- Peltzer, K., Shisana, O., Van Wyk., B., Zuma, K. & Zungu-Dirwayi, N. (2009). Job stress, job satisfaction and stress-related illnesses among South African educators. Stress and Health, 25:247-257.
- Rajkotach, O.M. (2012), Job satisfaction among college teachers: A study on Government Colleges in Jammu (J&K) Asian Journal of Research in Social Science & Humanities, Vil. 2, issue. 4,pp. 164-180.
- Ranawak, U.N. (2006), An Investigative study of the Nature of job satisfaction of public school teachers, Unpublished Master of Education Dissertation. Colombo, University of Colombo.
- Ruhl-Smith, C. D. (1991). Teacher attitudes toward students: Implications for job satisfaction in a sample of elementary teachers from suburban schools. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Oxford, OH: Miami University.
- Seco, GMS. (2002). Teacher satisfaction: some practical implications for teacher professional development models. Paper presented at the European Conference on Educational Research, University of Lisbon, Portugal.
- Smith, & M. A. Hitt, (Eds.), Great minds in management: The process of theory development (pp. 460-484). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sener, G. & Ozan, M.B. (2017), Investigation Of Job Satisfaction Levels Of School Administrators And Teachers, European Journal of Education Studies, Volume 3, Issue 3, 2017.
- Tasnim, S. (2006). Job satisfaction among female teachers: A study on primary schools in Bangladesh. Unpublished M. Phil. dissertation, University of Bergen, Norway.
- Tillman, WR. & Tillman, CJ. (2008). And you thought it was the apple: a study of job satisfaction among teachers. Academy of Educational Leadership Journal, 12(3):1-19.
- Ting, Y. (1997). Determinants of job satisfaction of federal government employees. Public Personnel Management, 26(3):313-334.
- Turner, HC. (2007). Predictors of teachers' job satisfaction in urban middle schools. Doctoral dissertation. North Carolina, USA: University of North Carolina.
- Weerasinghe,W. (2007). A study on the professional development needs of teachers working in non-preferred and most non-preferred scholls in Sri Lanka, Unpublished M. Phil. dissertation, Colombo, University of Colombo.
- Witte, D. H. (2007). Testing Karasek"s learning and strain hypotheses on young workers in their job. Works & Stress. 21 (2): 131 – 141. from. http://dox.doi.org/10.1080/02678370701405866