A Case Study on The Socio-Cultural Determinants Predicting Criminal Behavior in Urban Slum Environments

H.L.S. De Zoysa[1], O.A.Y. Chamathya[2] and K.A.S. Udayanga[3]

[1] *Department of Legal Studies, Open University of Sri Lanka. lszoysa96@gmail.com*

[2] *Department of Sociology, University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka.*

[3] *Bremen International Graduate School of Social Sciences, Germany.*

1. Introduction

Understanding the complex interplay between urban slum environments and criminal activities is essential for developing effective crime prevention strategies. This study aimed to explore how the challenging conditions of urban slums contribute to the intergenerational transmission of criminal behavior. Prior research suggests that social disorganization within urban slum communities characterized by poverty, inadequate infrastructure, and limited social services creates a breeding ground for social instability and criminal behavior (Abeyasinghe et al., 2022; Sampson et al., 2002).

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, using purposive sampling to conduct five in-depth case studies in selected slum areas - Wanathamulla, Salamulla, and Hetewaththa within the Colombo District of Sri Lanka. Through in-depth interviews with residents, we aimed to capture the lived experiences and perceptions of individuals within these communities. Drawing on Agnew's (2014) integrated theory, which combines elements of social disorganization and strain theories, we examined the socio-cultural conditions that shape criminal behavior in urban slums (Agnew, 2014). This aligns with Merton's (1938) concept of anomie, where a lack of legitimate means for success within structurally disadvantaged areas can drive individuals toward criminal behavior.

Utilizing Akers' (1973) social learning theory as a foundational framework, this research further investigates how criminal behaviors are socially learned within these communities, transmitted through observation and interaction with others. Akers and Jensen (2006) note the empirical significance of social learning theory in explaining deviant behavior, particularly in high-crime environments where exposure to criminal activities is frequent (Akers & Jensen, 2006). In these contexts, behaviors such as drug dealing and organized crime can become normalized within families and communities, fostering a cycle of deviance that is transmitted across generations.

By examining how social learning processes, familial socialization, and peer influence contribute to the evolution of criminal behavior, this study aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the socio-cultural motives driving crime in urban slum settings as the major research objective. The insights derived will inform the development of intervention strategies and policies aimed at addressing the root causes of crime, thereby fostering resilience and supporting crime prevention in marginalized communities.

2. Materials and Methods

This study employs a qualitative research design, chosen for its effectiveness in capturing the complex and nuanced perspectives of criminal behavior within urban slum environments. Qualitative methods are particularly suitable for exploring the socio-cultural factors influencing criminality, as they provide insights into participants' subjective experiences, social dynamics, and contextual realities.

The study was conducted in three prominent urban slum areas in Colombo, Sri Lanka: Wanathamulla, Salamulla, and Hetewaththa. These locations were selected due to their high crime rates and socio-economic conditions, which align with the focus of the research. A purposive sampling method was employed to select participants, ensuring they had either direct experiences of criminal conduct or relevant insights through close social connections. A total of five in-depth case studies were conducted to explore personal and community-based experiences of criminality.

Data collection involved semi-structured, in-depth interviews to capture the lived experiences and perceptions of participants regarding criminal activities and the socio-cultural influences that foster criminal engagement. Semi-structured interviews allowed for flexibility, enabling the researcher to probe deeper into themes that emerged during conversations while maintaining a focus on key research questions. Interviews were recorded (with participants' consent) and transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy in capturing responses.

Thematic analysis was used to interpret the data, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step framework: familiarization, coding, generating themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report. This process involved carefully reading the transcripts multiple times to identify recurring patterns and meaningful themes related to criminal behavior, socialization, and peer influence. Each theme was examined for its role in contributing to the understanding of socio-cultural determinants of criminal behavior. NVivo software was utilized to support the organization and coding of data, enhancing the rigor and efficiency of the analysis. To ensure the rigor and trustworthiness of the findings, member checking was conducted by sharing summarized findings with a subset of participants to confirm the accuracy of interpretations. Additionally, peer debriefing sessions were conducted to refine the analysis and validate thematic findings. Reflexivity was maintained throughout the study, with the researcher critically reflecting on their influence on data collection and analysis to minimize bias. This qualitative approach reveals the hidden and complex dynamics of life in an urban slum community, providing a rich understanding of the socio-cultural determinants shaping criminal behavior. By using a rigorous methodology, this study aims to contribute valuable insights that inform strategies to address crime and social instability in marginalized communities.

3. Results and Discussion

This study's findings revealed critical socio-cultural factors that drive criminal behavior in urban slum environments, highlighting the interconnected roles of socialization, intergenerational transmission, and peer influence within these communities. By situating these findings within established criminological frameworks, we gained a deeper understanding of the ways in which slum environments cultivate deviant behaviors and reinforce crime cycles. One of the most prominent findings concerned on the influence of early socialization on criminal behavior. Respondents commonly reported that exposure to crime often began in childhood, with family members or peers directly encouraging such activities. In these cases, deviant behavior was frequently normalized, with criminal acts viewed as necessary for survival or for gaining respect within the community. Social Learning Theory, proposed by Akers (1973), provides a valuable lens for interpreting this phenomenon (Akers, 1973). According to this theory, criminal behavior is not only learned but reinforced through observation and imitation within a socially supportive environment. For residents of urban slums, where opportunities for legitimate success are scarce, crime often appears as a viable alternative, embedding criminal norms into the social fabric of the community from an early age.

Another significant finding was the intergenerational transmission of criminal behaviors within family networks, with participants recounting how illegal activities, including smuggling, drug

trafficking, and underworld affiliations, were passed down through generations. For instance, one respondent explained how a former prison officer engaged in smuggling contraband after marrying into a family with a history of illicit activities. This pattern exemplified how family networks, coupled with social pressures within the slum ecology, perpetuate a cycle of criminality. Social Learning Theory (Akers, 1973) also supports this observation, emphasizing how criminal behavior is reinforced through familial and social connections, which play crucial roles in the continuity of deviant behavior across generations. This finding suggested that interventions targeting family networks and community norms may be particularly effective in breaking cycles of criminal behavior.

Peer influence emerged as a powerful factor in shaping criminal behavior. Participants described how peer pressure within cohesive groups often compelled individuals to engage in criminal activities as a means of gaining acceptance and status. Informal social networks in slum areas reinforce deviant norms and behaviors, fostering environments in which crime is embedded in everyday interactions. Social Disorganization Theory, as developed by Shaw and McKay (1942), offers insight into this pattern by highlighting how environmental instability and resource scarcity contribute to deviance within close-knit, socially disorganized communities. In the context of slum environments, limited access to education, employment opportunities, and social services compounds the social cohesion among residents, facilitating the spread of deviant behaviors through peer groups and informal networks. The findings aligned with multiple criminological theories that explain the relationship between social settings and criminality, offering a nuanced view of crime in urban slum environments. Social Learning Theory (Akers, 1973) elucidates how urban slums, where legitimate avenues for socio-economic mobility are constrained, serve as environments where individuals learn and replicate criminal behaviors through observation, imitation, and reinforcement. This framework illustrates how slum residents, especially young people, come to view crime as a normalized response to limited opportunities.

Social Disorganization Theory (Shaw & McKay, 1942) contextualizes these findings by demonstrating how structural inequalities, residential instability, and limited access to resources create settings where crime and deviance are likely to flourish (Shaw & McKay, 1942). The theory suggested that, in socially disorganized environments, community control mechanisms weaken, enabling deviant norms to proliferate through social networks. In urban slums, these structural conditions foster environments where crime becomes part of the community's social structure, perpetuating deviance through collective social processes. Finally, Strain Theory (Merton, 1938) complements these insights by underscoring the frustrations that arise from unfulfilled aspirations for socio-economic advancement. When legitimate pathways are obstructed, individuals may resort to criminal activities as alternative means of achieving financial or social success. This aligned with the experiences of participants in this study, who often viewed criminal activity as a practical or even necessary response to constrained opportunities within their communities. This study underscored the complex socio-cultural factors that influence criminal behavior in urban slum environments. By integrating Social Learning Theory, Social Disorganization Theory, and Strain Theory, we gained a comprehensive understanding of how slum residents are not only exposed to criminal behavior but socialized into it through family, peer, and community dynamics. These insights suggested that interventions must target multiple levels of influence—including family networks, peer groups, and broader structural conditions—to effectively reduce crime in marginalized urban settings. Initiatives that strengthen community resources, increase access to education and employment opportunities, and disrupt intergenerational crime cycles may be particularly effective in reducing crime and fostering resilience in urban slum communities.

4. Conclusion

This study offers a comprehensive analysis of the socio-economic and cultural determinants that shape criminal behavior in urban slum settings, highlighting the interconnected roles of early socialization, intergenerational transmission, and peer influence in fostering deviance. By examining these factors, this research contributed to a deeper understanding of how slum environments may act as breeding grounds for criminal behaviors. The findings align with established criminological theories, such as Social Learning Theory (Akers, 1973), Social Disorganization Theory (Shaw & McKay, 1942), and Strain Theory (Merton, 1938), which collectively underscored the importance of social and structural contexts in crime perpetuation. The study revealed that early socialization within families and communities normalized criminal behaviors, embedding deviance within social norms and often framing crime as a survival mechanism. Intergenerational transmission further solidifies this deviant culture, creating cycles of criminality that are deeply entrenched within family networks and peer groups. Peer influence also significantly impacts criminal engagement, where social networks and group norms within slum areas promote a collective identity rooted in deviant behaviors.

Effective intervention strategies, therefore, must address the foundational social and structural issues underpinning criminality. Tackling structural inequalities, improving access to quality education, enhancing employment opportunities, and expanding social services are essential for disrupting cycles of criminal behavior. Social Disorganization Theory supported this need by emphasizing that reducing residential instability and enhancing community resources can strengthen social controls, thereby diminishing the allure and prevalence of deviant behavior. Furthermore, programs focused on positive socialization and resilience-building—such as mentoring, youth engagement, and community-based support systems—align with Social Learning Theory, aiming to replace criminal influences with pro-social models. By emphasizing both individual and community-level interventions, this study highlighted the importance of multi-layered approaches to crime prevention. Policymakers and practitioners should integrate socio-economic support with community development initiatives that foster resilience, equity, and social cohesion within marginalized urban communities. Such initiatives hold the potential to break the cycles of criminality, encouraging positive social outcomes for residents and enabling the long-term transformation of high-risk environments. In summary, this research emphasized that sustainable crime reduction within urban slums requires targeted, evidence-based interventions that addressed both the immediate social influences and the broader socio-economic conditions fostering crime. Through this dual approach, the findings advocated for policies that not only mitigate criminal behaviors but also promote the social and economic well-being of marginalized urban populations, offering a scientifically grounded pathway toward crime reduction in slum communities.

5. Key words

Criminal Behavior, Slum Environment, Socio-Cultural determinants, Urban

6. References

- Abeyasinghe, R., et al. (2022). The Impact of Social Disorganization on Crime in Colombo's Urban Slums. *Crime and Delinquency*, 28(1), 87-102.
- Agnew, R. (2014). An Integrated Theory of the Criminal Behavior of Urban Slum Dwellers. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 42(2), 143-156.
- Akers, R. L. (1973). Deviant Behavior: A Social Learning Approach.
- Akers, R. L., & Jensen, G. F. (2006). The Empirical Status of Social Learning Theory of Crime and Deviance: The Past, Present, and Future.
- Merton, R. K. (1938). Social Structure and Anomie.
- Sampson, R., et al. (2002). Social Networks and Crime: A Study of Urban Slum Communities in Colombo. *Criminology*, 38(4), 587-602.